BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ) OF IDAHO POWER COMPANY FOR ) AUTHORITY TO IMPLEMENT POWER ) CASE NO. IPC-E-24-17 COST ADJUSTMENT ("PCA") RATES ) FOR ELECTRIC SERVICE FROM JUNE ) 1, 2024, THROUGH MAY 31, 2025. )

IDAHO POWER COMPANY

)

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JESSICA G. BRADY

Q. Please state your name, business address, and present position with Idaho Power Company ("Idaho Power" or "Company").

A. My name is Jessica G. Brady. My business
address is 1221 West Idaho Street, Boise, Idaho 83702. I am
employed by Idaho Power as a Senior Regulatory Analyst in
the Regulatory Affairs Department.

8 Ο. Please describe your educational background. 9 Α. In May 2016, I received a Bachelor of Science 10 degree in Economics and a Bachelor of Arts degree in Spanish from the University of Idaho. I have also attended 11 12 "The Basics: Practical Regulatory Training for the Electric 13 Industry," an electric utility ratemaking course offered through New Mexico State University's Center for Public 14 15 Utilities, "Electric Utility Fundamentals & Insights," an 16 electric utility course offered through the Western Energy 17 Institute, and Edison Electric Institute's "Electric Rates 18 Course" offered at the University of Wisconsin-Madison.

A. In September 2021, I accepted a position at Idaho Power as a Regulatory Analyst in the Regulatory Affairs Department. In October 2023, I was promoted to Senior Regulatory Analyst. As a Senior Regulatory Analyst, I am responsible for running the AURORA model ("AURORA") to calculate net power supply expenses ("NPSE") for ratemaking

Please describe your work experience.

19

Ο.

BRADY, DI 1 Idaho Power Company purposes, as well as the determination of the marginal cost of energy used in the Company's marginal cost analyses. My duties also include providing analytical support for other regulatory activities within the Regulatory Affairs Department.

Q. What is the Company requesting in this case?
A. The Company is requesting approval of its
2024-2025 Power Cost Adjustment ("PCA") rates to become
effective June 1, 2024. If approved, the 2024-2025 PCA
will result in a decrease in total billed revenue of
approximately \$35.7 million, or 2.31 percent.

12

Q.

How is your testimony organized?

13 My testimony consists of five sections. In the Α. first section, I provide an overview of the PCA. In the 14 15 second section, I detail the 2024-2025 PCA amount in 16 comparison to last year's PCA amount, identify and discuss 17 the main factors contributing to this change, and present 18 the quantification of the 2024-2025 PCA rates to become effective June 1, 2024. In the third section, I discuss the 19 20 additional PCA component related to revenue sharing. In the 21 fourth section, I detail the net customer impact of the 22 2024-2025 PCA rates if approved as filed. In the final 23 section, I discuss additional topics related to Order Nos. 24 35804 and 36042 of the Company's 2023 PCA filing and 25 General Rate Case, respectively.

> BRADY, DI 2 Idaho Power Company

1	Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits?
2	A. Yes. I am offering the following exhibits:
3	Exhibit Description
4	Exhibit No. 1 2024-2025 PCA Forecast
5	Exhibit No. 2 2023 Balancing Adjustment
6	Exhibit No. 3 2023 ROE Determination Revenue Sharing
7	Exhibit No. 4 Confidential - Black Mesa Solar
8	Generation and Expenses
9	Exhibit No. 5 Confidential - Hells Canyon Liquidated
10	Damages
11	I. <u>PCA OVERVIEW</u>
12	Q. What is the purpose of the PCA?
13	A. The PCA is a rate mechanism that quantifies
14	and tracks annual differences between actual Net Power
15	Supply Expenses ("NPSE") and the normalized or "base level"
16	of NPSE recovered in the Company's base rates, resulting in
17	a credit or surcharge that is updated annually on June 1.
18	The PCA mechanism uses a 12-month test period of April
19	through March ("PCA Year") and includes a forecast
20	component and a Balancing Adjustment. The forecast
21	component represents the difference between the Company's
22	NPSE forecast from the March Operating Plan and base level
23	NPSE recovered in the Company's base rates. The Balancing
24	Adjustment includes a backward-looking tracking of
25	differences between the prior PCA Year's forecast and

BRADY, DI 3 Idaho Power Company actual NPSE incurred by the Company, and also tracks the
 collection of the prior year's Balancing Adjustment.

3 How does the PCA mechanism function? Ο. 4 With the exception of Public Utility Α. Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 ("PURPA") expenses and 5 demand response incentive payments, the PCA allows the 6 Company to pass through to customers 95 percent of the 7 8 annual differences in actual NPSE as compared with base 9 level NPSE, whether positive or negative. With respect to 10 PURPA expenses and demand response incentive payments, as 11 actual annual expenses deviate from base level NPSE, the 12 Company is allowed to pass 100 percent of the difference 13 for recovery or credit through the PCA. The PCA is also the 14 rate mechanism used by the Company to provide customer 15 benefits resulting from the revenue sharing mechanism 16 approved by the Commission in Order No. 34071.

Q. Does the revenue collected from customers through the annual PCA rate contribute toward the Company's earnings?

A. No. The PCA mechanism provides for the annual collection or refund of net power supply cost differences between actual costs incurred by the Company and the base level NPSE component of base rates. Aside from the 95 percent to 5 percent sharing component I just described, the PCA provides for a one-for-one collection or refund of

> BRADY, DI 4 Idaho Power Company

actual net power supply expenses incurred, or to be
 incurred, to provide safe, reliable electric service to
 customers.

4 Q. What are the components of the PCA base level 5 NPSE?

A. The PCA base level NPSE includes the following
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") accounts:
Account 501, Fuel (coal); Account 536, Water for Power;
Account 547, Fuel (gas); Account 555, Purchased Power;
Account 565, Transmission of Electricity by Others; and
Account 447, Sales for Resale (typically referred to as
surplus sales).

13 The PCA base level expense component for FERC 14 Account 555 includes costs of both PURPA and non-PURPA 15 (market) purchases. Per Order No. 32426, the Company 16 adjusts FERC Account 555 to also include demand response 17 incentive payments that the Company provides to customers 18 who participate in any of its three demand response 19 programs.

20 II. <u>2024-2025 PCA</u>

Q. What is the total PCA collection that would result under the 2024-2025 PCA rates proposed by the Company in this case?

A. The 2024-2025 PCA rates would result in total PCA collection of \$112.7 million. This represents a

> BRADY, DI 5 Idaho Power Company

decrease in total billed revenue of \$35.7 million for the 1 2 upcoming year, a decrease of 2.31 percent.

3 Have you prepared a table that details the Ο. \$35.7 million revenue impact by component? 4

5 Table 1 presents a separation of the Α. Yes. 6 \$35.7 million decrease into each component included in the Company's proposed rates. 7

8

Table 1	le 1 Idaho Jurisdictional Revenue Impact by Component													
Line No.	Rate Component	20	23-2024 PCA	202	4-2025 PCA	Dif	ference							
1	PCA Forecast	\$	52,202,870	\$	22,712,031	\$	(29,490,839)							
2	PCA Balancing Adjustment	\$	96,189,461	\$	89,970,511	\$	(6,218,951)							
3	PCA Total	\$	148,392,331	\$	112,682,542	\$	(35,709,789)							
4	Revenue Sharing	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0							
5	Total Revenue Impact	\$	148,392,331	\$	112,682,542	\$	(35,709,789)							

9

10

What are the main factors driving the revenue Q. 11 change requested in this case?

12 The decrease in this year's PCA is driven by a Α. 13 decrease in both the forecast component and the Balancing 14 Adjustment. The decrease in this year's forecast component 15 is attributed primarily to higher forecast hydro 16 generation. The decrease in this year's Balancing 17 Adjustment is primarily attributed to the Sales Based Adjustment ("SBA"), which accounts for the variance in 18 19 actual sales and the sales used to set base level NPSE, and 20 an increase in Renewable Energy Credit ("REC") sales.

#### 1 A. PCA Forecast.

2 Ο. How is the PCA forecast amount determined? 3 As described previously, the PCA forecast Α. component represents the difference between the Company's 4 forecast of NPSE for the upcoming April - March test year 5 and base level NPSE recovered in the Company's base rates. 6 7 What is the Company's determination of the Q. 8 system-level difference between currently approved base level NPSE<sup>1</sup> and the forecast of NPSE for the 2024-2025 PCA 9 10 Year? 11 The system-level forecast of NPSE for the Α. 2024-2025 PCA Year is \$509,555,990, which is \$24,648,746 12 higher than the currently approved base level NPSE of 13 \$484,907,244. Table 2 presents the system-level 14 15 differences between currently approved base level NPSE and the forecast of NPSE for the 2024-2025 PCA Year by FERC 16 17 account. 18 11 11 19 20 11 21 11

22 //

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the Matter of the Application of Idaho Power Company for Authority to Increase its Rates and Charges for Electric Service in the State of Idaho and for Associated Regulatory Accounting Treatment, Case No. IPC-E-23-11, Order No. 36042 (December 28, 2023).

-	
	L

Table 2	2024 - 20	025 PCA	FORECAST (Tota	l Syste	em)		
Line No.	FERC Account		Base NPSE		Forecast	Diff	erence
	95% Sharing Accounts						
1	Account 501, Coal	\$	65,523,000	\$	117,075,844	\$	51,552,844
2	Account 536, Water for Power	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
3	Account 547, Other Fuel	\$	119,653,675	\$	147,302,230	\$	27,648,555
4	Account 555, Purchased Power Non-PURPA	\$	99,465,021	\$	90,809,149	\$	(8,655,871)
5	Account 565, 3rd Party Transmission	\$	10,263,139	\$	10,419,009	\$	155,870
6	Account 447, Surplus Sales	\$	(34,686,350)	\$	(86,055,453)	\$	(51,369,103)
		\$	260,218,486	\$	279,550,780	\$	19,332,294
	100% Sharing Accounts						
7	Account 555, PURPA	\$	214,448,755	\$	219,593,677	\$	5,144,922
8	Account 555, Demand Response Incentives	\$	10,240,003	\$	10,411,533	\$	171,530
9	Total	\$	484,907,244	\$	509,555,990	\$	24,648,746
2							
3	Q. What is the k	basis	s for the	foi	recast of	NPSE	for
4 th	e 2024-2025 PCA Year?						
5	A. The forecast	of N	NPSE for t	the	2024-2025	5 PCA	
6 Ye	ar is based on the Compan	ny′s	March 20	24 (	Operating	Plan	•

Q. How is the NPSE forecast developed for the8 Company's Operating Plan?

9 The Operating Plan is prepared monthly and Α. represents a forecast of the Company's monthly NPSE for the 10 11 following 18-month period; however, for the PCA, the 12 Company includes only the 12 months that correspond to the 13 PCA Year. The Operating Plan is developed by simulating 14 the dispatch of the Company's generation resources for each month, segmented by heavy load and light load hours. 15 The

> BRADY, DI 8 Idaho Power Company

dispatch considers a current forecast of forward market 1 2 energy prices, available hydro generation, coal and natural 3 gas prices, and any existing hedge transactions. The system load forecast is then analyzed against the resulting 4 monthly heavy load and light load dispatch to determine a 5 monthly load and resource balance. Any identified resource 6 deficiency is assumed to be filled with market energy 7 8 purchases or natural gas to fuel either the Langley Gulch 9 power plant ("Langley Gulch") or Jim Bridger Units 1 and 2, 10 based on economics and available generating capacity at 11 each plant. Economically dispatched generation above the 12 system load forecast represents surplus energy sales. The 13 forecast of monthly NPSE and generation for the 2024-2025 14 PCA Year, as determined in the Company's March 2024 15 Operating Plan, is provided in Exhibit No. 1. 16 Q. Did the Company make any adjustments to the 17 March 2024 Operating Plan, for purposes of quantifying 18 forecast NPSE for the 2024-2025 PCA Year? 19 Α. Yes. The Company made two adjustments to the 20 March 2024 Operating Plan for purposes of quantifying NPSE. 21 The first is the modification related to the power purchase

22 agreement ("PPA") with Black Mesa Solar, which was

23 introduced in last year's PCA filing. The second is a

1 modification related to a new special contract with Lamb
2 Weston, Inc. ("Lamb Weston").<sup>2</sup>

3 Q. Please explain the modification related to4 Black Mesa Solar.

5 A. For purposes of quantifying forecast NPSE for 6 the 2024-2025 PCA Year, the Company removed the forecasted 7 expenses associated with Black Mesa Solar, because Micron 8 Technology, Inc. ("Micron") will be paying for 100 percent 9 of the generation according to the provisions of the 10 special contract<sup>3</sup> between Idaho Power and Micron.

Q. Please provide more information on the Black
 Mesa Solar PPA and its treatment in the PCA forecast.

13 Α. Black Mesa Solar is a 40 MW alternating 14 current solar photovoltaic generation facility that came 15 online in June 2023. The PPA was negotiated in conjunction 16 with the Micron special contract, which states that Idaho 17 Power will procure renewable resources to assist Micron in 18 meeting a portion of its annual energy requirements with 19 energy generated by those resources. While the renewable resource, Black Mesa Solar in this case, is connected to 20

In the Matter of the Application for Approval of Special contract and Tariff Schedule 34 to Provide Electric Service to Lamb Weston, Inc., Case No. IPC-E-23-18, Order No. 35929 (September 21, 2023). In the Matter of the Replacement Special contract with Micron Technology, Inc., and Purchase Agreement with Black Mesa Energy LLC, Case No. IPC-E-22-06, Order No. 35482 (August 01, 2023).

the Company's system and does not serve Micron directly,
 Micron is paying for all of the output.

As a result, the cost of the PPA was removed from the Company's calculation of forecast NPSE. In compliance with Commission Order No. 35893,<sup>4</sup> the Company has provided Black Mesa Solar's forecast generation and expenses, as well as Micron's monthly load forecast, as Confidential Exhibit No. 4.

9 Ο. How will the excess generation and renewable 10 capacity credit payments, as detailed in Micron's special contract, be incorporated into this year's PCA filing? 11 12 In the event that Black Mesa Solar's Α. 13 generation exceeds Micron's load in a given hour, the 14 Company will compensate Micron for the excess generation 15 according to the methodology approved by the Commission in 16 Order No. 35482. However, for the 2024-2025 PCA year, the 17 Company does not expect Black Mesa Solar's generation to 18 exceed Micron's load in any hour. As a result, no excess 19 generation payments are included in this year's PCA 20 forecast.

In addition, as stated in Order No. 35482, the Company will not begin renewable capacity credit payments

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In the Matter of Idaho Power's Application to Expand Optional Customer Clean Energy Offerings through The Clean Energy Your Way Program, Case No. IPC-E-21-40, Order No. 35893 (August 15, 2023).

until July 1, 2026. As a result, no renewable capacity
 credit payments are included in this year's PCA forecast.
 Q. Please explain the modification related to
 Lamb Weston.

5 Order No. 35929 approved a new special Α. contract with Lamb Weston. It consists of a two-block 6 7 pricing structure that includes an embedded cost pricing 8 block ("Block 1") and a marginal cost pricing block ("Block 9 2"). Block 2 consists of electricity consumed beyond 20 10 megawatts. According to the Lamb Weston special contract, 11 revenues from Block 2 energy sales should be treated as a 12 surplus sale in NPSE calculations. As a result, revenues 13 associated with Lamb Weston's forecast Block 2 energy sales have been included in Account 447, Sales for Resale, as an 14 15 offset to NPSE.

Q. How does the Company's forecast of systemlevel NPSE for the 2024-2025 PCA compare to the systemlevel forecast included in last year's PCA?

A. Table 3 below compares this year's 2024-2025 PCA forecast of NPSE to last year's PCA forecast by FERC account. As detailed in this table, the PCA forecast on a total system basis for the 2024-2025 PCA year is \$509,555,990, which is \$31,943,394 lower than last year's forecast amount of \$541,499,384.

25 //

Table 3	PCA Forecast Comparison Expenses (Total System)														
Line No.	FERC Account		023-2024 Forecast	-	2024-2025 Forecast	C	Difference								
	95% Sharing Accounts														
1	Account 501, Coal	\$	130,090,026	\$	117,075,844	\$	(13,014,182)								
2	Account 536, Water for Power	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0								
3	Account 547, Other Fuel	\$	134,623,640	\$	147,302,230	\$	12,678,591								
4	Account 555, Purchased Power Non-PURPA	\$	123,492,688	\$	90,809,149	\$	(32,683,539)								
5	Account 565, 3rd Party Transmission	\$	7,964,649	\$	10,419,009	\$	2,454,360								
6	Account 447, Surplus Sales	\$	(84,191,539)	\$	(86,055,453)	\$	(1,863,914)								
		\$	311,979,464	\$	279,550,780	\$	(32,428,684)								
	100% Sharing Accounts														
7	Account 555, PURPA	\$	218,535,412	\$	219,593,677	\$	1,058,265								
8	Account 555, Demand Response Incentives	\$	10,984,508	\$	10,411,533	\$	(572,975)								
		\$	229,519,920	\$	230,005,210	\$	485,290								
9	Total PCA Forecast	\$	541,499,384	\$	509,555,990	\$	(31,943,394)								

2 Q. What general conclusions can be drawn from the 3 information contained in Table 3?

A. When viewed by category, the 95 percent sharing accounts have decreased approximately \$32.4 million from last year's forecast, while the 100 percent sharing accounts have increased approximately \$0.49 million over last year's forecast.

9 Q. What factors are contributing to the major 10 differences presented in Table 3?

A. Forecast expenses included in the 95 percent sharing accounts are expected to decrease by 10 percent as compared to last year, from \$311,979,464 to \$279,550,780. Due to the 12 percent increase in forecast hydro generation

> BRADY, DI 13 Idaho Power Company

and the conversion of Bridger Units 1 and 2 to natural gas,
 the Company expects to rely more on hydro and natural gas
 generation and less on purchased power and coal generation
 to serve load in the 2024-2025 PCA Year.

Q. Please elaborate on the changes in the 95
percent sharing accounts for this year's forecast as
compared with last year's forecast as presented in Table 3.

A. For the 2024-2025 PCA year, the average 9 forecast market purchase price is \$62.07 per megawatt-hour 10 ("MWh"), compared to \$76.01 per MWh last year, a decrease 11 of 18 percent. Accordingly, expenses from non-PURPA 12 purchased power are expected to decrease 26 percent 13 compared to last year.

14 The per-unit cost of natural gas-fired generation 15 for the 2024-2025 PCA year is \$40.52 per MWh, a decrease of 16 2 percent compared to last year. The per-unit cost of coal-17 fired generation for the 2024-2025 PCA year is \$38.18 per 18 MWh, an increase of 3 percent compared to last year. While 19 the forecast prices for natural gas and coal remained 20 relatively unchanged, due to the conversion of Bridger Units 1 and 2 to natural gas, natural gas generation is 21 22 expected to increase 11 percent and coal-fired generation 23 is expected to decrease 13 percent as compared to last 24 year's forecast.

1 Surplus sales revenue is expected to increase 2 2 percent compared to last year, from \$84,191,539 to 3 \$86,055,453. For the 2024-2025 PCA Year, the average forecast market sales price is \$62.64 per MWh compared with 4 5 \$82.96 last year, a 25 percent decrease. 6 Does Account 447, Sales for Resale, include Ο. forecasted revenues from wheeling losses? 7 8 Α. Yes. Consistent with Order No. 36042 in the 9 Company's 2023 General Rate Case, Idaho Power has included 10 both actual and forecasted revenues from wheeling losses in 11 NPSE beginning January 2024. 12 What factors are contributing to the change in Q. 13 the 100 percent sharing accounts? 14 As can be seen in Table 3, forecast expenses Α. 15 included in the 100 percent sharing accounts are expected 16 to increase 0.2 percent compared to last year, from 17 \$229,519,920 to \$230,005,210. Forecast PURPA costs 18 increased by \$1.06 million as compared to last year's 19 forecast and forecast demand response incentive payments 20 decreased by \$0.57 million as compared to last year. 21 Is the increase in forecast PURPA costs Q. 22 related to increased generation output from PURPA projects? 23 In part. Table 4 details changes between last Α. 24 year's PCA forecast and this year's PCA forecast with 25 respect to forecasted generation in MWh. As shown in Table

> BRADY, DI 15 Idaho Power Company

4, total PURPA generation is anticipated to decrease by
 136,646 MWh, or 4 percent. The increase in PURPA expense is
 largely the result of price escalation in PURPA contracts,
 for which the average cost is \$75.17 per MWh, compared to
 \$71.47 last year.

Table 4	PCA Forecast Comparison Generation (Total System-MWh)													
Line No.	FERC Account	2023-2024 Forecast	2024-2025 Forecast	Difference										
1	Hydro	6,487,995	7,293,179	805,184										
	95% Sharing Accounts													
2	Account 501, Coal	3,520,905	3,066,212	(454,693)										
3	Account 547, Other Fuel	3,261,784	3,635,055	373,271										
4	Account 555, Purchased Power Non-PURPA	1,695,683	1,577,970	(117,713										
	95% Sharing Accounts	14,966,367	15,572,415	606,048										
	100% Sharing Accounts													
5	Account 555, PURPA	3,057,802	2,921,156	(136,646										
	100% Accounts	3,057,802	2,921,156	(136,646										
6	Total Generation	18,024,169	18,493,571	469,402										
	<u>95% Sharing Accounts</u>													
7	Less Account 447, Surplus Sales	1,014,817	1,306,125	291,308										
8	Total Load	17,009,352	17,187,446	178,094										

6

Q. What other general conclusions can be drawn8 from the information in Table 4?

9 A. Compared to last year's forecast, hydro 10 generation is expected to increase from 6,487,995 MWh to 11 7,293,179 MWh, or 12 percent. In addition, coal-fired 12 generation is expected to decrease 13 percent and natural 13 gas generation is expected to increase 11 percent compared 14 to last year. Lastly, non-PURPA purchased power is expected

> BRADY, DI 16 Idaho Power Company

1 to decrease 7 percent from last year, which is largely 2 attributed to the reduction in forecast short-term market 3 purchases.

4 Q. What is causing the 12 percent increase in 5 expected hydro generation?

The increase in expected hydro generation is 6 Α. mainly due to higher projected inflows into Brownlee 7 8 reservoir. The March Operating Plan used in this year's PCA 9 forecast projects April through July inflows into Brownlee of 4.8 million acre-feet ("MAF") as compared to 4.3 MAF 10 11 used to determine last year's PCA forecast, an increase of 12 12 percent. Expected inflows into Brownlee are higher than 13 last year's PCA forecast as a result of better reservoir 14 storage conditions, which provide for sustained runoff and 15 increased hydro generation during the spring and summer 16 months. Storage at major reservoirs above Brownlee are 85 17 percent full, which is 127 percent of normal.

18 Ο. How are the forecasted NPSE differences presented in Table 2 used to determine the 2024-2025 PCA 19 20 forecast component to be collected from Idaho customers? 21 The 2024-2025 PCA forecast component reflects Α. the Idaho jurisdictional share of the forecasted NPSE 22 23 differences presented in Table 2, adjusted for the PCA 24 sharing provisions. The Idaho jurisdictional share of the 25 forecast NPSE differences is determined by applying a ratio

> BRADY, DI 17 Idaho Power Company

of forecast firm Idaho jurisdictional sales to forecast
 firm system-level sales to the system-level NPSE
 differences.

Q. Were any changes made to the Idaho
jurisdictional sales and system-level sales to account for
the discussed modifications related to Black Mesa Solar and
Lamb Weston?

A. Yes. Both the portion of Micron's load 9 forecast to be met by Black Mesa Solar and forecast Lamb 10 Weston Block 2 energy sales were removed from the total 11 forecast Idaho jurisdictional sales and system-level sales 12 and were not used in the derivation of the PCA rate.

Q. What is the Company's forecast of system-level firm sales and Idaho jurisdictional firm sales, net of the Black Mesa Solar and Lamb Weston modifications, for the 2024-2025 PCA Year?

A. For the 2024-2025 PCA Year, Idaho Power has forecast system-level firm sales to be 15,787,686 MWh and Idaho jurisdictional firm sales to be 15,131,267 MWh, or 95.84 percent of the system level.

21 Q. What is the Company's determination of the 22 2024-2025 PCA forecast component to be collected from Idaho 23 customers?

A. The 2024-2025 PCA forecast component to be

BRADY, DI 18 Idaho Power Company 1 collected from Idaho customers is \$22,704,611.<sup>5</sup> Table 5

2 presents the determination of the 2024-2025 PCA forecast

3 component by individual PCA expense and revenue category.

Table								
5		2024-2025	5 PCA FORECAST					
Line No.	FERC Account	Differe	nce from Base	 erence After Sharing	Idaho Allocation			
	95% Sharing Accounts	(Fro	om Table 2)					
1	Account 501, Coal	\$	51,552,844	\$ 48,975,202	\$	46,938,915		
2	Account 536, Water for Power	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0		
3	Account 547, Other Fuel	\$	27,648,555	\$ 26,266,127	\$	25,174,036		
4	Account 555, Purchased Power Non-PURPA	\$	(8,655,871)	\$ (8,223,078)	\$	(7,881,179)		
5	Account 565, 3rd Party Transmission	\$	155,870	\$ 148,077	\$	141,920		
6	Account 447, Surplus Sales	\$	(51,369,103)	\$ (48,800,648)	\$	(46,771,618)		
		\$	19,332,295	\$ 18,365,680	\$	17,602,074		
	100% Sharing Accounts							
7	Account 555, PURPA	\$	5,144,922	\$ 5,144,922	\$	4,931,007		
8	Account 555, Demand Response Incentives	\$	171,530	\$ 171,530	\$	171,530		
9	Total	\$	24,648,747	\$ 23,682,132	\$	22,704,611		

4

#### 5 B. Balancing Adjustment.

6

7

Q. What is this year's quantification of the Balancing Adjustment?

A. The Balancing Adjustment is detailed in the PCA deferral report, attached hereto as Exhibit No. 2. This report compares actual NPSE amounts to actual power cost collections monthly, with the differences accumulated as a deferral balance. The balance at the end of March 2024, with interest applied, was \$89,971,188 as shown on row 100

 $<sup>^5</sup>$   $\,$  This will not tie to the forecast component from Table 1 due to rounding of PCA rate.

of Exhibit No. 2. The approximate \$90 million represents a
 decrease to customer rates in this year's PCA Balancing
 Adjustment.

Q. To what factors do you attribute the
accumulation of the approximate \$90 million deferral
balance?

7 Order No. 35804 in last year's PCA filing Α. 8 directed Idaho Power to collect the 2022-2023 PCA deferral 9 balance equally over a two-year period. As a result, this 10 year's approximate \$90 million balance is primarily 11 attributed to the continued collection of last year's 12 deferral balance. Actual power supply expenses in the 2023-13 2024 PCA Year were just 3 percent higher than forecast 14 expenses. As a result, the variance between forecast and actual power supply expenses for the 2023-2024 PCA Year had 15 16 a relatively small impact on this year's deferral balance. 17 However, this year's deferral balance does include 18 increased benefits associated with the SBA, as well as increased REC sales. 19

Q. Please explain the changes in actual versus
forecast generation and expense for the 2023-2024 PCA Year.
A. Actual hydro generation for the 2023-2024 PCA
year totaled 6,921,812 MWh, a 7 percent increase from last
year's forecast of 6,487,995 MWh. Actual non-PURPA
purchased power totaled 3,912,307 MWh, a 131 percent

BRADY, DI 20 Idaho Power Company increase from last year's forecast. Actual natural gas generation totaled 3,086,278 MWh, a 5 percent decrease from last year's forecast. Lastly, actual surplus sales volumes totaled 2,637,210 MWh, an increase of 160 percent from last year's forecast.

Q. Please elaborate on the changes in actual
versus forecast generation and expense for the 2023-2024
PCA Year.

9 A. Actual coal-fired generation for the 2023-10 2024 PCA year was 27 percent lower than forecast. Actual 11 coal fuel expense totaled \$106,401,903, which was 18 12 percent lower than forecast.

Actual natural gas generation for the 2023-2024 PCA was 5 percent lower than forecast. Actual natural gas expense was \$171,487,628, which was 27 percent higher than forecast. The per-unit cost of natural gas in the 2023-2024 PCA Year was \$55.56/MWh, a 35 percent increase from forecast.

Actual non-PURPA purchased power totaled 3,912,307 MWh for the 2023-2024 PCA Year, which was 131 percent higher than forecast. Actual non-PURPA purchased power expense was \$225,264,049, which was 82 percent higher than forecast.

24 Surplus sales totaled 2,637,210 MWh for the 2023-25 2024 PCA Year, which was 160 percent higher than forecast.

> BRADY, DI 21 Idaho Power Company

Actual surplus sales revenue was \$160,755,918, which was 91
 percent higher than forecast.

While both purchased power and surplus sales increased, surplus sale volumes were highest in off-peak spring and winter months, and purchased power was highest in either summer months, where hot temperatures caused higher than forecast peak loads, or in spring months, where prices were relatively low.

9 Q. Were there any other items included in this 10 year's Balancing Adjustment in addition to what was already 11 discussed?

12 Α. Yes. Per Commission Order No. 34100, Idaho Power included its actual costs of Western Energy Imbalance 13 14 Market ("EIM") participation for April 2023 through 15 December 2023 in the Balancing Adjustment. Because EIM 16 costs were included in base rates resulting from the 17 Company's 2023 General Rate Case, which went into effect on 18 January 1, 2024, EIM costs are no longer included in the 19 PCA as of that date. Benefits associated with EIM 20 participation are embedded in actual NPSE.

Q. Please summarize the conditions of Order No. 34100 as they pertain to EIM cost recovery through the 2023 PCA.

A. Per the terms of the settlement stipulation ("EIM Stipulation") approved by Order No. 34100, Idaho

> BRADY, DI 22 Idaho Power Company

1 Power agreed to include an EIM-related monthly revenue 2 requirement in its monthly PCA deferral calculation based 3 on actual EIM participation costs commencing April 1, 2018. The Company also agreed to apply a soft cap to EIM-related 4 revenue requirement included in the PCA deferral equal to 5 annual EIM benefits as reported by the California 6 Independent System Operator ("CAISO") for the corresponding 7 8 period.

9 Q. Is the EIM-related revenue requirement 10 included in the April 2023 through March 2024 PCA deferral 11 under the soft cap of annual CAISO-reported benefits for 12 that same period?

13 Yes. For the April 2023 through December 2023 Α. 14 period, the EIM-related revenue requirement totaled \$1.9 15 million, while CAISO reported EIM benefits for Idaho Power 16 of approximately \$49.6 million from April through December. 17 Therefore, the Company's EIM-related revenue requirement is 18 less than the soft cap agreed to in the EIM Stipulation. 19 Q. Does Idaho Power believe the EIM has provided 20 net benefits to customers since joining in April 2018? 21 Yes. While Idaho Power believes the CAISO Α. benefit calculation overstates estimated benefits to Idaho 22 23 Power's system, the Company believes customers have 24 realized significant net benefits since the Company's entry 25 into the EIM in April 2018. As discussed in the Company's

> BRADY, DI 23 Idaho Power Company

1 May 24, 2019, Report of EIM Benefits and Costs of 2 Participation, filed in Case No. IPC-E-16-19, Idaho Power 3 has developed a more precise methodology for determining EIM benefits that uses inputs specific to the Company. 4 Based on this methodology, the Company believes benefits 5 achieved between April 2023 and December 2023 are 6 approximately \$39.5 million (benefits for the first quarter 7 8 of 2024 are not yet available). This level of EIM benefits 9 compared to the Idaho-jurisdictional EIM costs of \$1.9 10 million, demonstrates a net benefit to the Company and, 11 ultimately, its customers.

#### 12 C. <u>PCA Rate Determination</u>.

13 How is the rate for the forecast portion of Ο. the PCA for April 2024 through March 2025 determined? 14 15 The rate for the forecast portion of the PCA Α. 16 is equal to the sum of (1) 95 percent of the difference 17 between the non-PURPA expenses quantified in the Operating 18 Plan and those quantified in the Company's last approved 19 update of NPSE, divided by the Company's forecast of system 20 firm sales for June 1, 2024, through May 31, 2025 ("System-21 level Sales Forecast"); and (2) 100 percent of the 22 difference between PURPA-related expenses quantified in the 23 Operating Plan and those quantified in the Company's last 24 approved update of NPSE, divided by the Company's System-25 level Sales Forecast; and (3) 100 percent of the difference

> BRADY, DI 24 Idaho Power Company

1 between the Idaho jurisdictional demand response incentive 2 payments quantified in the Operating Plan and those 3 quantified in the Company's last approved update of NPSE, divided by the forecast of Idaho jurisdictional firm sales 4 5 for June 1, 2024, through May 31, 2025. 6 What is the rate for the forecast portion of Ο. the PCA for April 2024 through March 2025? 7 8 Α. The rate for non-PURPA expenses is 0.1163 9 cents per kilowatt-hour ("kWh"), which is calculated by 10 multiplying \$19,332,295 from Table 2 by 95 percent and then 11 dividing it by the System-level Sales Forecast (net of 12 Black Mesa Solar generation and Lamb Weston Block 2 energy 13 sales) of 15,787,686 MWh ((\$19,332,295 \* 0.95) / 15,787,686) = \$1.163 /MWh = 0.1163 cents/kWh). The rate for 14 15 PURPA expenses is 0.0326 cents per kWh, which is calculated 16 by dividing \$5,144,922 from Table 2 by the 15,787,686 MWh 17 (\$5,144,922 / 15,787,686 MWh = \$0.326/MWh = 0.032618 cents/kWh). The rate for demand response incentive payments is 0.0011 cents per kWh, which is calculated by dividing 19 20 the \$171,530 from Table 2 by the forecast of Idaho jurisdictional firm sales (net of Black Mesa Solar and Lamb 21 22 Weston modifications) of 15,131,267 MWh (171,530 / 23 15,131,267 MWh = \$0.0110/MWh = 0.0011 cents/kWh. The 24 forecast portion of the PCA rate is 0.1501 cents per kWh, 25 which is calculated by adding the non-PURPA expense of

> BRADY, DI 25 Idaho Power Company

0.1163 cents per kWh to the PURPA expense of 0.0326 cents per kWh to the demand response incentive payment of 0.0011 cents per kWh (0.1163 + 0.0326 + 0.0011 = 0.1501 cents/kWh).

5 Q. How did you compute this year's Balancing6 Adjustment rate?

A. As shown in Exhibit No. 2, this year's Balancing Adjustment of the PCA is approximately \$90 million, which, when divided by the Company's forecast of Idaho jurisdictional sales of 15,131,267 MWh, results in a rate of 0.5946 cents per kWh (\$89,971,188 / 15,131,267 = \$5.946/MWh = 0.5946 cents/kWh).

13 What is the resulting PCA rate when you Ο. 14 combine all the PCA components described previously? 15 Α. The uniform PCA rate comprises (1) the 0.1501 16 cents per kWh for the 2024-2025 projected power cost of 17 serving firm loads under the current PCA methodology and 95 18 percent sharing, and (2) the 0.5946 cents per kWh for the 19 2023-2024 Balancing Adjustment of the PCA. The sum of these 20 two components is a 0.7447 cents per kWh charge for all 21 rate classes.

22

#### III. ADDITIONAL PCA RATE ADJUSTMENTS

23 A. Revenue Sharing.

24 Q. When was the revenue sharing mechanism 25 originally established?

> BRADY, DI 26 Idaho Power Company

A. The revenue sharing mechanism was originally established in Case No. IPC-E-09-30 and approved in Order No. 30978, effective for the years 2009-2011. Since then, the revenue sharing mechanism has been modified and extended four times.<sup>6</sup> Order No. 34071 in Case No. GNR-U-18-01 extended the revenue sharing mechanism indefinitely, with modifications.

8 The mechanism was most recently modified in the 9 Company's 2023 General Rate Case. However, the stipulated 10 modifications were effective January 1, 2024, and will not 11 impact the PCA filing until 2025.

12 Q. What are the provisions of the current revenue 13 sharing mechanism?

A. In Case No. GNR-U-18-01, the Company filed a motion to approve a settlement stipulation ("2018 Stipulation") extending the sharing mechanism indefinitely, with modifications. The Commission approved the 2018 Stipulation in Order No. 34071.

Per the terms of the 2018 Stipulation, if the Company's actual year-end Return on Equity ("ROE") for the Idaho jurisdiction exceeds 10 percent, all amounts up to and including a 10.5 percent ROE will be shared between customers and the Company on an 80 percent and 20 percent basis, respectively, to be provided as a rate reduction to

6

Order Nos. 32424, 33149, 34071, and 36042.

1 become effective at the time of the subsequent year's PCA. 2 If the Company's Idaho jurisdictional ROE exceeds 10.5 3 percent, all amounts in excess of 10.5 percent will be shared 55 percent with Idaho customers as a rate reduction 4 to become effective with the subsequent year's PCA, 25 5 percent will be shared with Idaho customers in the form of 6 an offset to amounts in the Company's pension balancing 7 8 account, and 20 percent will be apportioned to the Company.

9 With regard to the amortization of Accumulated 10 Deferred Investment Tax Credits ("ADITC"), the 2018 11 Stipulation allows the Company to accelerate the 12 amortization of ADITC, in an amount up to \$45 million, to 13 achieve a maximum 9.4 percent Idaho jurisdictional ROE if 14 the Company's year-end actual results fall below that 15 amount for any year beginning January 1, 2020. Idaho Power 16 may use up to \$25 million of additional amortization of 17 ADITC per year, provided the total, cumulative amount of ADITC does not exceed \$45 million. Per the 2018 18 19 Stipulation, once the Company has fully amortized the \$45 20 million of ADITC, revenue sharing will cease; however, Idaho Power may at any time request to replenish the total 21 22 amount of ADITC it is permitted to amortize, and if 23 approved by the Commission, revenue sharing would continue. 24 What have been the results of the revenue Ο. sharing mechanism since it was implemented through 2022? 25

> BRADY, DI 28 Idaho Power Company

The Company's earnings in each year from 2011 1 Α. 2 through 2015, as well as 2018 and 2021, resulted in revenue 3 sharing with customers totaling \$126.7 million, either as a direct rate offset in the PCA or as an offset to amounts 4 5 that would have otherwise been collected in rates. The Company's earnings in 2016, 2017, 2019, 2020, and 2022 were 6 7 below the revenue sharing threshold. These amounts are 8 detailed in Table 6 below.

Table 6		2009-2022 Re	evenue Sharing	ſ									
Line													
No.	Revenue Sharing Component	2009-2011	2012-2014	2015-2019	2020-2022								
1	Available ADITC For Use	\$45 Million	\$45 Million	\$45 Million	\$45 Million								
2	Customer Benefits (\$ Millions):												
3	Reduction to Rates	\$27.1	\$22.8	\$8.2	\$0.6	Total							
4	Offset to Pension Balancing Account	\$20.3	\$47.8	\$0.0	\$0.0	2009-2022							
5	Total	\$47.4	\$70.6	\$8.2	\$0.6	\$126.7							
9		_	_										
10	Q. Did the Co	mpany's g	year-end	2023 fin	ancial								
11	results warrant any acti	on relat	ed to the	e existir	ng sharin	g							
12	agreement per the terms	of the 2	018 Stip	ulation?									
13	A. No. The Co	mpany's g	year-end	2023 fin	ancial								
14	results yielded an actua	l Idaho	jurisdic	tional RC	DE of 9.4								
15	percent, falling below t	he 10 pe	rcent RO	E thresho	old for								
16	revenue sharing, and thu	s result	ing in no	o revenue	e sharing								
17	with customers.												
18	Q. Did the Co	mpany use	e the sar	ne method	lology to								
19	determine the Idaho juri	sdiction	al 2023 g	year-end	ROE that								
20	was used in prior PCA fi	lings?											

BRADY, DI 29 Idaho Power Company

1 Α. Yes. The methodology used to determine the 2 Company's Idaho jurisdictional 2023 year-end ROE is 3 consistent with the methodology used for the year-end ROE determinations since the inception of the mechanism. 4 5 Do you have an exhibit demonstrating the Q. 6 application of this methodology? 7 Yes. Exhibit No. 3 provides a step-by-step Α. 8 calculation of the Idaho jurisdictional ROE based on year-9 end 2023 financial results utilizing the Commission-10 approved methodology from previous PCA filings. 11 IV. NET CUSTOMER IMPACT 12 What is the revenue impact of the requested Q. PCA rate when compared with PCA rates currently in effect? 13 14 Α. Attachment 2 to the Application filed 15 contemporaneously with my testimony provides a detailed 16 description of the overall revenue impact of this filing on 17 each customer class. As shown in Attachment 2, applying the 18 requested PCA rates to expected customer sales for the June 19 2024 through May 2025 test year results in a PCA decrease of \$35.7 million. 20 21 Have you prepared a revised Schedule 55 that Q. 22 includes the proposed PCA rates? 23 Yes. Attachment 1 to the Application is a Α. 24 revised Schedule 55 and includes the proposed PCA rates in 25 clean and legislative formats.

> BRADY, DI 30 Idaho Power Company

Q. Please summarize the Company's request in this
 filing.

A. If approved, the 2024-2025 PCA will result in a decrease in total billed revenue of approximately \$35.7 million, or 2.31 percent. The Commission should approve the Company's computation of the PCA rates, the calculation of which follows the methodology that was approved in Order Nos. 30715, 33307, and 34071.

9

#### V. COMPLIANCE WITH PRIOR ORDERS

10 Q. Please describe the topics discussed in Idaho 11 Power's 2023 PCA filing (Order No. 35804) that the Company 12 is addressing in this filing.

A. Idaho Power is addressing two issues that were discussed in Order No. 35804. The first is the outcome of damage claims for Hells Canyon Unit No. 3. The second is regarding the Company's coal supply management in the 2022-2023 PCA Year.

18 Q. What was the Commission's order regarding19 Hells Canyon Unit No. 3?

A. Idaho Power was directed to notify the Commission of any outcome on the Hells Canyon Unit No. 3 damage claim.

Q. Please summarize the issues that led to Idaho
Power seeking damage claims for Hells Canyon Unit No. 3.

BRADY, DI 31 Idaho Power Company 1 Α. Hells Canyon Unit No. 3 failed due to a phase-2 to-phase stator on June 23, 2020. The likely root cause was 3 degraded coil insulation. At the time of the failure, Idaho Power had already planned for scheduled maintenance from 4 August 2021 to December 2021 and was under contract with 5 Alstom Renewable US ("General Electric"). Because General 6 Electric did not meet the completion dates for the project, 7 8 Idaho Power withheld delay liquidated.

9 Q. Were the delay liquidated damages that Idaho 10 Power received included in this year's PCA filing as an 11 offset to power supply costs?

12 Yes. The majority of delay liquidated damages Α. were included as an offset to power supply costs in the 13 2023-2024 PCA Year. However, a portion was also recorded to 14 15 offset labor costs that would not have otherwise occurred. 16 The Company has provided additional detail on the delay 17 liquidated damage amounts in Confidential Exhibit No. 5. 18 Ο. What was the Commission's order regarding 19 Idaho Power's coal supply management in the 2022-2023 PCA 20 Year?

A. Order No. 35804 directed Commission Staff to investigate the prudency of the Company's power supply expenses related to coal supply issues and report its assessment to the Commission within six months of the Commission's final order.

> BRADY, DI 32 Idaho Power Company

Q. Did Commission Staff provide a report
 detailing its investigation?

A. Yes. On November 30, 2023, Commission Staff filed a confidential report that recommended an adjustment to NPSE be considered in the 2024 PCA filing. Staff also recommended that Idaho Power include Staff's report and a response to Staff's report as a part of the 2024 PCA filing.

9 Q. Has Idaho Power included a response to Staff's 10 report with this filing?

A. Yes. Idaho Power has included both Staff's report and its response to Staff's report as Confidential Attachments 3 and Attachment 4 to this filing,

14 respectively.

15 Q. What can be concluded from the information 16 contained in Attachment 4?

17 The information contained in Attachment 4 Α. 18 demonstrates that Idaho Power's management of its available 19 coal supply during the 2022-2023 PCA Year was reasonable 20 and prudent based on the information available to the 21 Company at the time. The Company does not agree that an 22 adjustment to NPSE is reasonable under the circumstances 23 presented. To the contrary, as more fully described in 24 Attachment 4, the Company moved expeditiously and 25 judiciously to mitigate the challenges that arose from

> BRADY, DI 33 Idaho Power Company

sustained market volatility and limited coal inventories in
 the region.

Q. Are there any other issues stemming from the Company's 2023 General Rate Case that the Company is addressing in this filing?

A. Yes. In the 2023 General Rate Case settlement stipulation, parties agreed to discuss the annual tracking of third-party point-to-point wheeling revenues in a separate proceeding.

10 Q. Has Idaho Power discussed a proposed wheeling 11 revenue tracking mechanism with Staff?

A. Yes. Idaho Power provided its proposed wheeling revenue tracking mechanism to Staff on March 28, 2024, and has been engaged in continuing discussions with Staff regarding the mechanism. Idaho Power will continue to work with Staff and make a filing detailing the proposal as soon as possible.

18 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

19 A. Yes, it does.

 20
 //

 21
 //

 22
 //

 23
 //

 24
 //

1	DECLARATION OF JESSICA G. BRADY
2	I, Jessica G. Brady, declare under penalty of
3	perjury under the laws of the state of Idaho:
4	1. My name is Jessica G. Brady. I am employed
5	by Idaho Power Company as a Senior Regulatory Analyst in
6	the Regulatory Affairs Department.
7	2. On behalf of Idaho Power, I present this
8	pre-filed direct testimony and Exhibit Nos. 1-5 in this
9	matter.
10	3. To the best of my knowledge, my pre-filed
11	direct testimony and exhibits are true and accurate.
12	I hereby declare that the above statement is true to
13	the best of my knowledge and belief, and that I understand
14	it is made for use as evidence before the Idaho Public
15	Utilities Commission and is subject to penalty for perjury.
16	SIGNED this $15^{\text{th}}$ day of April 2024, at Boise, Idaho.
17	Signed:
18	Signed:

### **BEFORE THE**

## IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

### CASE NO. IPC-E-24-17

**IDAHO POWER COMPANY** 

BRADY, DI TESTIMONY

**EXHIBIT NO. 1** 

#### IDAHO POWER PCA FORECAST APRIL 1, 2024 - MARCH 31, 2025

							AT ICE 1, 2024	MARCON 01, 2020							
Line No.	FERC Account		April	Мау	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	Annual
	95% Sharing Accounts														
1	Hydroelectric Generation (MWh)		984,013	1,014,012	840,054	580,097	533,664	525,889	376,759	368,488	490,260	498,374	469,152	612,417	7,293,179
2	Account 536, Water for Power Total Expense	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$		\$ - \$	- 9	5 - 5	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	
2	Account 501, Coal	Ψ	- ¥	- 4	- 4	- Ų		ψ - ψ	- 4	γ - ψ	- ų		- ų		- -
	Jim Bridger														
3 4	Energy (MWh) Total Expense	\$	90,468 2.871.016 \$	32,238 945,751 \$	145,785 4,603,392 \$	245,367 7,898,826 \$	245,367 7,943,000	237,452 \$ 7,693,577 \$	245,367 7,951,834 \$	237,452 5 7,692,152 \$	245,367 7,947,417 \$	245,367 8,334,677 \$	221,622 7,660,886 \$	225,522 7,854,302 \$	2,417,37 79,396,82
		Ţ	_,		.,	.,	.,	• • • • • • •	.,		·,•··· •	-,	.,,	.,	
5	North Valmy Energy (MWh)		(0)	_	_	56,892	87,108	80,561	87,104	84,294	87,104	87,104	78,674	(0)	648,84
6	Total Expense	\$	332,698 \$	332,698 \$	332,698 \$	3,293,293 \$		\$ 4,505,872 \$	4,860,741 \$			4,855,438 \$	4,447,480 \$	332,698 \$	
	Account 547, Other Fuel														
-	Langley Gulch		171.150	00.050	~~~~~~	010 701	044.050		44 740	045 505	007.040			100,100	0.070.5
7 8	Energy (MWh) Total Expense	\$	174,453 2,613,583 \$	28,052 741,628 \$	207,200 3,069,456 \$	210,704 5,672,395 \$	211,056 5,410,032	208,320 \$ 5,221,659 \$	41,718 1,061,272 \$	215,505 \$ 7,844,304 \$	227,040 10,443,023 \$	226,896 11,057,701 \$	202,080 9,613,294 \$	120,492 3,649,775 \$	2,073,51 66,398,12
	Bridger Gas														
9	Energy (MWh)		-	-	-	147,766	81,537	83,441	84,223	-	154,144	137,923	32,497	-	721,53
10	Total Expense		-\$33,476	-\$33,476	-\$33,476	\$4,595,181	\$2,828,501	\$2,549,886	\$2,486,104	\$291,204	\$11,604,917	\$10,467,898	\$2,318,886	\$301,830 \$	37,343,97
	Danskin														
11	Energy (MWh)	•	-	-	-	120,680	121,032	44,016	78,816	-	51,440	56,744	8,352	-	481,08
12	Total Expense	\$	188,260 \$	188,260 \$	181,598 \$	5,495,380 \$	5,257,425	\$ 2,031,590 \$	3,096,800 \$	\$ 181,598 \$	3,803,819 \$	4,398,665 \$	867,110 \$	188,260 \$	25,878,76
	Bennett Mountain														
13 14	Energy (MWh)	\$	- 92,724.99 \$	- 92,724.99 \$	- 89,443.76 \$	118,488 5,277,150.27 \$	125,496	- \$ 89,443.76 \$	41,496 1,608,948.27 \$	41,112	32,336 2,385,670.75 \$	- 92,724.99 \$	- 92,724.99 \$	- 92,724.99 \$	358,92
14	Total Expense	ą	92,724.99 \$	92,724.99 \$	09,443.70 ş	5,277,150.27 \$	5,324,428.59	φ 09,443.70 φ	1,000,940.27 3	φ 2,442,000.40 φ	2,365,670.75 \$	92,724.99 \$	92,724.99 \$	92,724.99 p	5 17,681,36
	Account 555, Purchased Power Non-PURPA		151 107	05 700	000 400	000 717	100 100	00.504	77 500	100.017	100 150	~~~~~	101 701	100.077	4 577 0
15 16	Energy (MWh)	\$	154,437 7,247,733 \$	95,729 3,873,409 \$	206,462 8,205,246 \$	203,717 12,155,377 \$	138,109 7,780,932	89,501 \$ 4,681,890 \$	77,522 5,038,880 \$	169,317 \$ 13,197,004 \$	100,158 8,771,299 \$	92,938 6,679,661 \$	121,704 8,160,739 \$	128,377 5,016,979 \$	1,577,97 90,809,14
10	Total Expense	ą	1,241,755 \$	3,673,409 <b>\$</b>	0,20 <u>0,240</u> \$	12,155,577 \$	7,700,932	\$ 4,001,090 \$	5,036,660 \$	5 13,197,004 \$	0,771,299 \$	0,079,001 ֆ	8,100,739 \$	5,010,979 ş	90,609,14
17	Account 565, 3rd Party Transmission	\$	484,900 \$	642,929 \$	070 070 @	1,588,903 \$	1,413,041	\$ 763,336 \$	1.062.000	675,368 \$	735,071 \$	773,786 \$	810,920 \$	486,974 \$	5 10,419,00
17	Total Expense	ą	464,900 \$	042,929 <b>\$</b>	979,873 \$	1,588,903 \$	1,413,041	\$ 763,336 \$	1,063,909 \$	φ 075,300 φ	/35,0/1 \$	//3,/00 \$	010,920 Ş	486,974 \$	5 10,419,00
40	Account 447, Surplus Sales		(504.400)	(444 500)	(444,400)	(40.000)	(40.050)	(405.007)	(53.050)	(47.055)	(00.047)	(70.004)	(50.000)	(00.470)	(4.000.4(
18 19	Energy (MWh) Total Expense	\$	(504,188) (20,401,610) \$	(114,532) (4,333,683) \$	(114,102) (5,979,539) \$	(48,368) (4,029,466) \$	(49,256) (4,873,012)	(135,907) \$ (12,237,123) \$	(57,956) (3,550,765) \$	(17,855) (2,821,347) \$	(68,817) (8,233,743) \$	(78,661) (7,219,658) \$	(56,303) (6,810,908) \$	(60,179) (5,564,599) \$	(1,306,12 6 (86,055,45
	100% Sharing Accounts														
	Account 555. PURPA														
20	Energy (MWh)		287,513	300,952	296,853	281,342	269,878	229,984	221,701	171,622	188,068	200,471	228,193	244,581	2,921,15
21	Total Expense	\$	15,984,371 \$	16,481,737 \$	21,588,102 \$	24,318,489 \$	24,037,578	\$ 17,360,119 \$	16,703,289 \$	\$ 15,726,756 \$	17,639,259 \$	16,286,900 \$	18,511,882 \$	14,955,194 \$	219,593,67
	Account 555, Demand Response Incentives														
22	Total Expense	\$	- \$	- \$	270,468 \$	3,047,657 \$	4,657,950	\$ 1,277,208 \$	184,487 \$	\$ 973,763 \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	5 10,411,53
	95% Sharing Accounts 100% Sharing Accounts	\$ \$	(6,604,171) \$ 15,984,371 \$	2,450,240 \$ 16,481,737 \$	11,448,693 \$ 21,858,570 \$				23,617,722 \$ 16,887,776 \$						279,550,78 230,005,21
23	Total Net Power Supply Expense	\$	9,413,676 \$	18,965,452 \$	33,340,739 \$	64,718,005 \$	61,828,727	\$ 31,387,572 \$	38,019,395 \$	\$ 50,595,089 \$	48,317,024 \$	45,259,895 \$	43,354,129 \$	27,012,309 \$	509,555,99
24	Total Generation (MWh)		1,690,885	1,470,982	1,696,354	1,965,052	1,813,247	1,499,162	1,254,705	1,287,790	1,575,915	1,545,816	1,362,273	1,331,390	18,493,57
25	Total Load (MWh)		1,186,696	1,356,450	1,582,253	1,916,684	1,763,991	1,363,255	1,196,749	1,269,935	1,507,098	1,467,155	1,305,970	1,271,211	17,187,446
20			1,100,000	1,000,400	1,002,200	1,010,004	1,100,001	1,000,200	1,100,740	1,200,000	1,007,000	1,407,100	1,000,010	1,2,1,2,11	11,107,440

### **BEFORE THE**

## IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

### CASE NO. IPC-E-24-17

**IDAHO POWER COMPANY** 

BRADY, DI TESTIMONY

**EXHIBIT NO. 2** 

#### Power Cost Adjustment April 2023 thru March 2024

Substrate         Substrate <t< th=""><th>· · ··· = • = • ··· · · · · · · · = • = ·</th><th></th><th>April</th><th>May</th><th>June</th><th>Julv</th><th>August</th><th>September</th><th>October</th><th>November</th><th>December</th><th>January</th><th>February</th><th>March</th><th>Totals</th></t<>	· · ··· = • = • ··· · · · · · · · = • = ·		April	May	June	Julv	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	Totals
American         Control         Contro         Control <thcontrol< th=""> <th< th=""><th>Idaho Jurisdiction Net Power Supply Expense (Non-QF)</th><th>_</th><th>7.pm</th><th>Way</th><th>bullo</th><th>oury</th><th>August</th><th>Coptember</th><th>COLODEI</th><th>November</th><th>December</th><th>oundary</th><th>robidary</th><th>Maron</th><th>Totalo</th></th<></thcontrol<>	Idaho Jurisdiction Net Power Supply Expense (Non-QF)	_	7.pm	Way	bullo	oury	August	Coptember	COLODEI	November	December	oundary	robidary	Maron	Totalo
Indelseries for the second s															
Indelseries for the second s			2.221.982.74	3.006.071.09	3.437.223.59	12.886.895.53	11.461.334.14	7.444.181.67	15.680.984.56	8.559.225.36	13.738.240.92	10.268.546.59	6.808.703.71	4.172.539.41	99.685.929.31
Number Schwarz         Number Schwarz         Statusch (Statusch															
Interstyle         Total State							26,184,632,46								
Bases Sciences         1424/000132         01/01/01/20         01/01/01/20         01/01/01/20         02/01/01/20         02/01/20															
Number of starting in the data is been used as a local of starting in the data is been used as local of starting in the data is been used as a local of															
Inst. Add/92.1         Inst. 200.1         Inst. 200.1         Inst. 200.0					-	-		-	(0,111,110.00)	-	-	(12,010,100101)		(10,000,010.00)	(100,100,010112)
$\frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000$		\$	9 385 355 56	7 691 326 14	19 394 473 34	58 841 011 83	48 865 025 45	22 269 876 02	24 763 355 53	26 888 256 06	46 692 485 02	45 390 592 07	19 927 836 90	10 067 949 93	340 177 543 85
Here Automa Analysis         9         Ball RASC         Capability         Columbor Analysis         Capability         Capability <thc< th=""><th></th><th>Ŷ</th><th>- / /</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>010,111,010.00</th></thc<>		Ŷ	- / /												010,111,010.00
Image: mark and part of the section of the		\$													325,936,706,50
in protected in prote		· _	-,,	,,	- , ,		-,	, .,	., .,		1. 1		-,,	- , ,	
Implementane         Support	Base Non-QF														
Implementane         Support	Fuel Expense-Coal	\$	7.525.242.00	7.487.643.00	9.019.153.00	11.385.255.00	12.185.412.00	10.796.845.00	7,781,442.00	7.302.324.00	8.455.019.00	5.483.866.09	5.225.193.36	4.796.697.48	97.444.091.93
Del befy         System         Syste		\$													
Del befy         System         Syste	Non-Firm Purchases	\$	4.342.083.00	4.320.388.00	5,204,073,00	6.569.319.00	7.031.012.00	6.229.805.00	4,489,910,00	4.213.459.00	4.878.566.00	8.324.601.37	7,931,931,79	7.281.467.80	70.816.615.95
Style State         Source State </th <th></th> <th>\$</th> <th></th>		\$													
winder for Area (Lessen)         5         155,2000         152,2000         152,2000         227,2000         2202,000         2002 100         102,2000 <th></th> <th>\$</th> <th></th> <th>(47.277.216.54)</th>		\$													(47.277.216.54)
Mark Advance         mage	•	\$	165,106.00	164,281.00	197,883.00		267,352.00			160,216.00	185,506.00				1,797,753.00
Mark Advance         mage		s													
Notice Autorization (Not Internal Loss)         1000000775         1020000775         1020000775         1020000775         10200000775         10200000775         10200000775         10200000000000000000000000000000000000		Ψ													102,040,004.00
Base Of The class of the class of															
Bart provides         Stork	Net Idaho Jurisdiction 95% Items	\$	10,580,097.75	10,527,234.05	12,680,456.55	16,007,072.50	17,132,052.05	15,179,799.20	10,940,300.70	10,266,687.05	11,887,314.85	20,813,868.14	19,832,082.66	18,205,737.90	174,052,703.40
Bart provides         Stork															
Int Provide Supply Expression Determed (i)         S         C150,058,050		\$													151,884,003.10
Bits Junisdictional Gualitying Facility MPSE         Actual of Includes         S         10.4011302 / S1         23.300 / S10			95.0%												
Actual of Includes Ref Meining, Burl River 1095, & Liquidated Damages         5         10.401.307.57         13.300.806.66         12.446.010         72.441.8000         10.207.11.20         13.840.2016.50         12.845.201.85	Net Power Supply Expense Deferral (1)	\$	(1,518,396.86)	(3,000,996.43)	5,586,051.72	38,679,879.76	28,243,031.99	5,931,630.45	12,167,369.39	14,615,473.77	31,024,450.07	21,407,439.93	(723,085.61)	(8,123,045.22)	144,289,802.96
Actual of Includes Ref Meining, Burl River 1095, & Liquidated Damages         5         10.401.307.57         13.300.806.66         12.446.010         72.441.8000         10.207.11.20         13.840.2016.50         12.845.201.85															
Lisbo         Absolution         65.7%         56.5%         96.7%         65.7%         56.5%         95.7%         55.5%         95.7%         55.5%         95.7%         55.5%         95.7%         55.5%         95.7%         55.5%         95.7%         55.5%         95.7%         55.5%         95.7%         55.5%         95.7%         55.5%         95.5%															
Istabulanticional Antual OF         5         15.056.05.00         12.742.248.02         12.02.258.03         12.202.288.17         12.056.05.00         15.058.		\$													203,501,888.55
Base OF Isain Allocation isaid Allocati isaid Allocation isaid Allocation isaid Allocation isaid Alloc															
Idata Ablachadon         95.0%	Idaho Jurisctional Actual QF	\$	15,696,080.05	12,742,348.02	16,877,838.75	22,622,353.17	22,038,218.95	16,586,617.37	15,686,373.74	13,015,509.62	15,034,512.36	16,503,563.50	16,238,270.84	11,954,236.62	194,995,922.99
Idata Ablachadon         95.0%															
Isian Jurindicional Base         5         5.870.288.00         0.775.24.11         10.570.088.00         12.855.448.00         0.115.23.10         2.855.016.00         9.008.027.50         17.152.294.75         15.946.825.15         15.000.95.75.05         14.450.879.890           Idaio Jurindiction Charge From Base         5         0.876.012.05         3.007.770.15         9.279.311.52         7.757.428.60         3.033.168.37         6.566.800.4         4.457.491.82         5.155.848.80         (9.100.555.10)         10.0095         100.009		\$													151,830,856.49
Ideb Juridicion Change From Base Sheining Percentage         S         6.878.812.05 100.0%         3.987.143.87 100.0%         9.278.311.52 100.0%         7.757.428.80 100.0%         3.933.186.37 100.0%         5.568.850.64         4.457.401.62 100.0%         5.125.584.86 (640.381.2.5)         (105.554.31)         (3.049.00.0.93)         50.467.743.19           Viel Percentage         Statistic Statiste Statiste Statistic Statistic Statistic Statistic Statistic Stat															
Sharing Percentage         100.0%	Idaho Jurisdictional Base	\$	8,819,268.00	8,775,204.15	10,570,068.60	13,343,041.65	14,280,792.35	12,653,449.00	9,119,523.10	8,558,018.00	9,908,927.50	17,152,924.75	16,343,825.15	15,003,537.55	144,528,579.80
Sharing Percentage         100.0%															
OF Deternal @         \$         6.676.812.05         3.887/.143.87         6.307.770.15         9.279.311.82         7.797.426.60         3.933.168.37         6.566.850.64         4.497.491.62         5.125.584.88         (049.361.25)         (05.64.31)         (3.049.300.93)         50.467.343.19           Idaho Ravenue Adjustment (ISBAR)         MVM         547.681.205         3.897.143.87         6.306.850.64         4.497.491.62         5.125.584.88         (049.361.25)         (105.564.31)         (3.049.300.93)         50.467.343.19           Actual Idaho Junsictional Biling Moth Sales         MVM         547.162         1.024.560         1.000.051         1.044.565         597.641         (106.101.41         1.229.509         1.100.644         1.376.57           Normage pag at Old Read-relicitive Invizo         503.091         1.030.651         1.402.765         1.000.055         200.0005         0.00076		\$													50,467,343.19
Idab R Sevenie Adjustment (SBAR)         MWh         1.079.076         1.243.540         1.665.023         1.443.576         1.009.195         1.144.193         1.229.509         1.203.36           Normalized labol Jurisdicional Billing Month Sales         MWh         947.192         953.2826         1.131.866         1.370.121         1.428.766         1.300.006         1.004.496         957.884         1.011.012         1.106.864         1.279.6337           Sales Change         WWh         131.884         125.511         111.1854         1956.594         228.513         10.000%         100.000%															
Actual laba- Jurisdiciana Billing Month Sales         WVh         947,192         953,286         1,104,810         1,243,540         1,506,786         1,626,787         1,074,008         1,099,196         1,144,130         1,226,349         1,200,336         1,114,051         1,476,033           Sales Change         WVh         197,192         953,286         1,118,68         1,370,142         1,428,766         1,046,449         100,000%         114,61,61,61,61,61,61,61,61,61,61,61,61,61,	QF Deterral (2)	\$	6,876,812.05	3,967,143.87	6,307,770.15	9,279,311.52	7,757,426.60	3,933,168.37	6,566,850.64	4,457,491.62	5,125,584.86	(649,361.25)	(105,554.31)	(3,049,300.93)	50,467,343.19
Actual laba- Jurisdiciana Billing Month Sales         MVh         947,192         953,286         1,130,89         1,243,540         1,506,786         1,686,787         1,074,008         1,099,195         1,144,193         1,226,309         1,200,336         1,114,051         14750,331           Normaized idea Jurisdicional Billing Month Sales         MVh         197,192         953,286         1,311,868         1,370,142         1,428,766         1,045,449         268,13         51,331         63,179         (3,739)         (0,856)         7,187         953,974           % of Prior Period Billings at New Rate-effective U1/2024         \$3,090         0,0000%															
Nomelized (abio Jurisdictional Billing Nonth Sales         WWh         1942; 953; 288         1,131; 688         1,270; 142         1,428; 766         1,320; 0.00         957; 844         1,283; 248         1,210; 192         1,106,864         137; 963; 377           % of Prior Period Billings at Old Rate-effective thru 12/2023         \$2,867; 2         100:000%         100:000%         100:000%         100:000%         00:000%															
Sales Change         MWh         131 H84         126 5611         111 H84         126 564         226 277         105 159         28 13         63 179         (33 739)         (48 66)         7.187         953 974           % of Prior Period Billings at New Rate-effective U1/2024         \$ 30, 90         0.000%         100.000%         100.000%         100.000%         0.000%         0.0000%         0.000%         0.000%         0.0000%         0.000%<															
% of Prior Period Billings at Old Rate-effective thru 12/2023       \$28.72       100.000%       100.00%       100.00%       100.00%       100.00%       100.00%       100.00%       100.00%       100.00%       100.00%       100.00%       100.00%       100.00%       100.00%       100.00% </th <th>- 5</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>1 - 1</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>1 1-</th> <th>1 1 -</th> <th>1 - 1 -</th> <th>1 1</th> <th></th>	- 5				1 - 1			1			1 1-	1 1 -	1 - 1 -	1 1	
% of Current Period Billings at New Rate-effective 01/2024       \$ 30.90       0.000%       0.00															953,974
Sales Adjustment (Pro <sup>T</sup> o Sharing @         S         (3,363,314,10)         (2,386,314,10)         (2,386,314,10)         (2,386,314,10)         (2,386,314,10)         (2,386,314,10)         (2,386,314,10)         (2,386,314,10)         (2,380,312,780,22)         (2,380,367,48)         (1,311,567,66)         (1,388,151,06)         96,00%         95,															
Sharing Percentage         95.0% <th></th> <th>(05 400 100 11)</th>															(05 400 100 11)
Idaho Revenue Adjustment (SBAR) ③         \$         (3,347,752.65)         (3,188,498.40)         (2,899,309.25)         (3,467,303.47)         (5,997,141.59)         (2,669,364.61)         (723,766.83)         (1,302,989.28)         (1,603,743.51)         912,387.46         288,979.84         (210,961.42)         (24,149,463.71)           Idaho Actual Demand Response Incentive Payments         \$         90.32         103.84         190,860.22         2,459,729.26         2,713,665.90         1,850,742.44         1,212,407.52         27,315.09         -         -         8,454,905.59           Idaho Base Demand Response         \$         90.32         100.84         190,860.22         2,459,729.26         2,713,666.90         1,850,742.44         1,212,407.52         27,315.09         -         -         -         8,454,905.59           Idaho Base Demand Response         \$         780,041.00         776,529.86         1,119,681.00         806,970.00         757,284.00         876,823.00         (857,024.33)         (816,598.69)         749,632.90)         (2,465,722.33)           Sharing Percentage         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%         100.0%		\$													(25,420,488.11)
Idaho Jurisdicitional Demand Response Incentive Payments         \$         90.32         103.84         190.860.22         2,459,729.26         2,713,656.90         1,850,742.44         1,212,407.52         27,315.09         -         -         8,454,905.59           Idaho Actual Demand Response         \$         780,401.00         776,502.00         935,327.00         1,180,702.00         1,263,682.00         1,119,681.00         806,970.00         757,284.00         876,823.00         857,024.33         816,598.69         749,632.90         10,920,627.92           Change From Base         \$         (780,310.68)         (776,398.16)         (744,466.78)         1,279,027.26         1,449,974.90         731,061.44         405,437.52         (729,968.91)         (876,823.00)         (857,024.33)         816,598.69)         (749,632.90)         (2,465,722.33)           Sharing Percentage         100.0%         <		¢								95.0%					(04 440 460 74)
Idaho Actual Demand Response       \$       90.32       103.84       190,860.22       2,459,729.26       2,713,656.90       1,850,742.44       1,212,407.52       27,315.09       -       -       -       8,454,905.59         Idaho Base Demand Response       \$       780,401.00       776,502.00       935,327.00       1,180,702.00       1,280,820.00       1,119,681.00       806,970.00       757,284.00       876,823.00       857,024.33       816,598.69       749,632.90       1,092,0627.92         Change From Base       780,310.680       (776,398.16)       (744,466.78)       1,279,027.26       1,449,974.90       731,061.44       405,437.52       (729,968.91)       (876,823.00)       (857,024.33)       (816,598.69)       749,632.90       (2,465,722.33)         Sharing Percentage       100.0%	iuano Revenue Aujustment (SDAR) (S	\$	(3,347,752.65)	(3,188,498.40)	(2,839,309.25)	(3,407,303.47)	(5,997,141.59)	(2,009,304.61)	(123,100.83)	(1,302,989.28)	(1,603,743.51)	912,387.46	288,979.84	(210,961.42)	(24,149,403.71)
Idaho Actual Demand Response       \$       90.32       103.84       190,860.22       2,459,729.26       2,713,656.90       1,850,742.44       1,212,407.52       27,315.09       -       -       -       8,454,905.59         Idaho Base Demand Response       \$       780,401.00       776,502.00       935,327.00       1,180,702.00       1,280,782.44       1,212,407.52       27,315.09       -       -       -       8,454,905.59         Idaho Base Demand Response       \$       780,401.00       776,502.00       935,327.00       1,180,702.00       1,280,712.01       1,19,681.00       806,970.00       757,284.00       876,823.00       857,024.33       816,598.69       749,632.90       (2,465,722.33)         Sharing Percentage       100.0%	Ideba Juviedalitianal Damand Despanse Incontinue Despanse														
Idaho Base Demand Response         \$         780,401.00         776,502.00         935,327.00         1,180,702.00         1,263,682.00         1,119,681.00         806,970.00         757,284.00         876,823.00         857,024.33         816,598.69         749,632.90         10,920,627.92           Change From Base         (780,310.68)         (776,398.16)         (744,466.78)         1,279,027.26         1,449,974.90         731,061.44         405,437.52         (729,968.91)         (876,823.00)         (857,024.33)         (816,598.69)         (749,632.90)         (2,465,722.33)           Sharing Percentage         100.0%															
Change From Base       \$       (780,310.68)       (776,398.16)       (744,466.78)       1,279,027.26       1,449,974.90       731,061.44       405,437.52       (729,968.91)       (876,823.00)       (857,024.33)       (816,598.69)       (749,632.90)       (2,465,722.33)         Sharing Percentage       100.0%	•	\$								-	-	-	-		
Sharing Percentage       100.0%       1	Idaho Base Demand Response	\$	780,401.00	776,502.00	935,327.00	1,180,702.00	1,263,682.00	1,119,681.00	806,970.00	757,284.00	876,823.00	857,024.33	816,598.69	749,632.90	10,920,627.92
Change From Base ④       \$       (780,310.68)       (776,398.16)       (744,466.78)       1,279,027.26       1,449,974.90       731,061.44       405,437.52       (729,968.91)       (876,823.00)       (857,024.33)       (816,598.69)       (749,632.90)       (2,465,722.33)         Idaho Miscellaneous Revenue       System Emission Allowance Sales Credit       \$       .	Change From Base	\$	(780,310.68)	(776,398.16)	(744,466.78)	1,279,027.26	1,449,974.90	731,061.44	405,437.52	(729,968.91)	(876,823.00)	(857,024.33)	(816,598.69)	(749,632.90)	(2,465,722.33)
Change From Base ④       \$       (780,310.68)       (776,398.16)       (744,466.78)       1,279,027.26       1,449,974.90       731,061.44       405,437.52       (729,968.91)       (876,823.00)       (857,024.33)       (816,598.69)       (749,632.90)       (2,465,722.33)         Idaho Miscellaneous Revenue       System Emission Allowance Sales Credit       \$       .	Sharing Percentage		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Idaho Miscellaneous Revenue         System Emission Allowance Sales Credit       \$         System Renewable Energy Credit Sales       \$       (630,210.04)       (259,069.46)       335.82       (364,192.90)       (1,649,463.00)       (84,934.41)       (163,816.01)       (28,547.22)       (3,835,483.45)       (6,144,859.78)       (5,124,642.59)       (159,687.15)       (18,444,570.19)         Revenue Subtotal       \$       (630,210.04)       (259,069.46)       335.82       (364,192.90)       (1,649,463.00)       (84,934.41)       (163,816.01)       (28,547.22)       (3,835,483.45)       (6,144,859.78)       (5,124,642.59)       (159,687.15)       (18,444,570.19)         Idaho Allocation       95.7%       95.8%       95.7%       96.2%       95.9%       95.4%       95.5%       95.7%       95.0%		\$													(2 465 722 33)
System Emission Allowance Sales Credit       \$       Constrained       Constrained <thconstrained< th="">       Constrained       <thc< th=""><th></th><th>Ψ</th><th>(100,010.00)</th><th>(110,000.10)</th><th>(17,700.70)</th><th>1,210,021.20</th><th>1,770,077.00</th><th>701,001.44</th><th>T00,T01.JZ</th><th>(120,000.91)</th><th>(070,020.00)</th><th>(001,027.00)</th><th>(010,000.09)</th><th>(1+3,002.30)</th><th>(2,700,122.00)</th></thc<></thconstrained<>		Ψ	(100,010.00)	(110,000.10)	(17,700.70)	1,210,021.20	1,770,077.00	701,001.44	T00,T01.JZ	(120,000.91)	(070,020.00)	(001,027.00)	(010,000.09)	(1+3,002.30)	(2,700,122.00)
System Emission Allowance Sales Credit       \$       Constrained       Constrained       \$       Constrained       Constrained       \$       Constrained       Constrained <thconstrained< th="">       Constrained</thconstrained<>	Idaho Miscellaneous Revenue														
System Renewable Energy Credit Sales       (630,210.04)       (259,069.46)       335.82       (364,192.90)       (1,649,463.00)       (84,934.41)       (163,816.01)       (28,547.22)       (3,835,483.45)       (6,144,859.78)       (5,124,642.59)       (159,687.15)       (18,444,570.19)         Revenue Subtotal       \$       (630,210.04)       (259,069.46)       335.82       (364,192.90)       (1,649,463.00)       (84,934.41)       (163,816.01)       (28,547.22)       (3,835,483.45)       (6,144,859.78)       (5,124,642.59)       (159,687.15)       (18,444,570.19)         Idaho Allocation       95.7%       95.8%       95.7%       96.4%       95.9%       96.2%       95.9%       95.4%       95.5%       95.7%       95.9%       95.0%       <		¢													
Revenue Subtotal         \$         (630,210.04)         (259,069.46)         335.82         (364,192.90)         (1,649,463.00)         (84,934.41)         (163,816.01)         (28,547.22)         (3,835,483.45)         (6,144,859.78)         (5,124,642.59)         (159,687.15)         (18,444,570.19)           Idaho Allocation         95.7%         95.8%         95.7%         96.4%         95.9%         95.9%         95.4%         95.4%         95.5%         95.7%         95.9%           Sharing Percentage         95.0%         <		Φ	(630.340.04)	(250 060 46)		(364 102 00)	(1 640 462 00)			(28 547 22)	(3 835 403 AF)	(6 1// 050 70)	(5 104 640 50)	(150 697 15)	(18 444 570 10)
Idaho Allocation       95.7%       95.8%       95.7%       96.4%       95.9%       96.2%       95.9%       95.4%       95.5%       95.7%       95.9%         Sharing Percentage       95.0% <t< th=""><th></th><th>÷ –</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>(.,)</th><th>1 / / · /</th><th>1 1 1 1 1 1 1</th><th></th><th>(1,111,111)</th><th>(-,</th><th>10 10 001</th><th>()</th><th>(,</th></t<>		÷ –					(.,)	1 / / · /	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		(1,111,111)	(-,	10 10 001	()	(,
Sharing Percentage         95.0% <th></th> <th>Φ</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>(-,</th> <th></th> <th>(1) (1) (1)</th> <th></th> <th>(10,444,570.19)</th>		Φ									(-,		(1) (1) (1)		(10,444,570.19)
Miscellaneous Revenue Deferral (5) (572,955.46) (235,779.12) 305.31 (333,527.86) (1,502,743.27) (77,621.56) (149,244.58) (25,872.35) (3,476,098.65) (5,574,924.04) (4,659,068.81) (145,482.98) (16,753,013.37)															
		¢ –	90.0%	(235 770 12)		(333 537 00)	95.0%	90.0%	95.0%	(25.072.25)	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	(16 753 012 27)
	Miscenarious Revenue Delerial ()	φ	(372,933.46)	(200,119.12)	305.31	(333,527.86)	(1,302,743.27)	(11,021.50)	(149,244.58)	(20,072.35)	(3,470,090.05)	(0,074,924.04)	(4,009,000.01)	(140,402.98)	(10,753,013.37)

Idaho EIM Participation Costs														
Return on EIM Capital Investment	¢	28.871.58	28,222,16	27.572.73	26.923.30	26.273.88	25.624.45	24.975.02	24.325.60	23.676.17				236.464.90
	¢	26,671.56	184.168.76	174.913.14	26,923.30	20,273.00	25,624.45	24,975.02 212.616.66	24,325.60 155.300.39	164.197.09	-	-	-	236,464.90
Operating Expenses	\$										-	-		
Revenue Subtotal	\$	196,583.38	212,390.91	202,485.87	210,053.50	241,666.20	287,852.68	237,591.68	179,625.99	187,873.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,956,123.47
Sharing Percentage	•	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1 050 017 01
EIM Revenue Requirement 6	\$	186,754.21	201,771.37	192,361.58	199,550.82	229,582.89	273,460.05	225,712.10	170,644.69	178,479.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,858,317.31
TOTAL DEFERRAL (Sum of (1)-(6))	\$	844,150.61	(3,032,756.87)	8,502,712.73	45,636,938.03	30,180,131.52	8,122,334.14	18,492,358.24	17,184,779.54	30,371,849.37	15,238,517.77	(6,015,327.58)	(12,278,423.45)	153,247,264.05
												• • • •		· ·
PCA Forecasted Revenues														
Actual Idaho Jurisdictional Billing Month Sales	MWh	1,079,076	1,078,897	1,243,540	1,506,736	1,665,023	1,405,767	1,074,008	1,009,195	1,144,193	1,229,509	1,200,336	1,114,051	14,750,331
% of Prior Period Billings at Old Rate		100.000%	100.000%	58.321%	1.563%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	58.425%	0.786%	0.000%	
% of Current Period Billings at New Rate		0.000%	0.000%	41.700%	98.400%	100.000%	100.000%	100.000%	100.000%	100.000%	41.600%	99.200%	100.000%	
Forecast Rate Revenues (7)		(12,259,386.52)	(12,257,343.81)	(15,642,099.90)	(21,950,132.14)	(24,262,717.74)	(20,489,411.26)	(15,652,952.14)	(14,707,632.03)	(16,673,307.14)	(12,700,800.30)	(4,216,873.09)	(3,844,373.82)	(174,657,029.89)
PCA Balancing Account Balance														
Monthly Interest Rate 2% for 2023 and 5% for 2024	%	0.1667%	0.1667%	0.1667%	0.1667%	0.1667%	0.1667%	0.1667%	0.1667%	0.1667%	0.4167%	0.4167%	0.4167%	2.7500%
Beginning Balance		\$ 190,205,568.62	176,366,072.47	158,628,085.50	146,720,978.03	161,097,764.80	156,699,273.09	135,654,963.94	131,890,644.72	128,177,579.55	134,815,898.27	130,106,737.33	112,709,561.34	190,205,568.62
2023-2024 Incremental Deferral (Sum of 1)-6 above		844,150.61	(3,032,756.87)	8,502,712.73	45,636,938.03	30,180,131.52	8,122,334.14	18,492,358.24	17,184,779.54	30,371,849.37	15,238,517.77	(6,015,327.58)	(12,278,423.45)	153,247,264.05
2023-2024 PCA Forecast Revenues (Collections) ⑦ above		(12,259,386.52)	(12,257,343.81)	(15,642,099.90)	(21,950,132.14)	(24,262,717.74)	(20,489,411.26)	(15,652,952.14)	(14,707,632.03)	(16,673,307.14)	(12,700,800.30)	(4,216,873.09)	(3,844,373.82)	(174,657,029.89)
2023-2024 PCA Prior Balance Revenues (Collections)		(2,741,269.52)	(2,741,829.74)	(5,032,100.44)	(9,554,554.08)	(10,584,401.76)	(8,938,397.49)	(6,829,816.93)	(6,410,030.42)	(7,273,852.81)	(7,808,611.32)	(7,707,086.73)	(7,085,199.72)	(82,707,150.96)
Revenue Sharing - Order No.								- 1						- 1
DSM Rider Forecasted Surplus Funds - Order No.		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2023-2024 Ending Balance Without Current Month Interest		176,049,063.19	158,334,142.05	146,456,597.89	160,853,229.84	156,430,776.82	135,393,798.48	131,664,553.11	127,957,761.81	134,602,268.97	129,545,004.42	112,167,449.93	89,501,564.35	86,088,651.82
Current Month Interest		317,009.28	293,943.45	264,380.14	244,534.96	268,496.27	261,165.46	226,091.61	219,817.74	213,629.30	561,732.91	542,111.41	469,623.17	3,882,535.70
2023-2024 Ending Deferral Balance		\$ 176,366,072.47	158,628,085.50	146,720,978.03	161,097,764.80	156,699,273.09	135,654,963.94	131,890,644.72	128,177,579.55	134,815,898.27	130,106,737.33	112,709,561.34	89,971,187.52	89,971,187.52
Tab is 100% locked down, with no manual inputs.														
Idaho Billed Sales	MWh	1,079,076	1,078,897	1,243,540	1,506,736	1,665,023	1,405,767	1,074,008	1,009,195	1,144,193	1,229,509	1,200,336	1,114,051	14,750,331
Oregon Billed Sales	MWh	48,403	47,674	55,881	56,914	70,809	56,024	46,374	48,778	55,179	58,434	53,656	47,133	645,259
	MWh	1,127,479	1,126,570	1,299,421	1,563,650	1,735,832	1,461,792	1,120,381	1,057,973	1,199,372	1,287,943	1,253,992	1,161,184	15,395,590
Idaho % Billed Sales		95.7%	95.8%	95.7%	96.4%	95.9%	96.2%	95.9%	95.4%	95.4%	95.5%	95.7%	95.9%	
Oregon % Billed Sales		4.3%	4.2%	4.3%	3.6%	4.1%	3.8%	4.1%	4.6%	4.6%	4.5%	4.3%	4.1%	

### **BEFORE THE**

## IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

### CASE NO. IPC-E-24-17

**IDAHO POWER COMPANY** 

BRADY, DI TESTIMONY

**EXHIBIT NO. 3** 

#### IDAHO POWER COMPANY

#### ADDITIONAL INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT ANALYSIS For the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2023

			Ended December	· , · · ·		
[	Actual September 30, 2023			Actual December 31, 2023		
	TOTAL			TOTAL		
*** SUMMARY OF RESULTS ***	SYSTEM	IDAHO	IDAHO %	<u>SYSTEM</u>	IDAHO	IDAHO %
TOTAL COMBINED RATE BASE	4,206,978,903	4,022,103,489	95.606%	Septembe	er Allocations/Ratios	
DEVELOPMENT OF NET INCOME						
OPERATING REVENUES						
RETAIL SALES REVENUES (Incl 449.1 Rev) OTHER OPERATING REVENUES	1,131,444,961 216,499,424	1,084,202,950 E 207,160,642	95.7%	1,472,666,391 285,571,483	1,409,982,947 273,253,253	Direct Assign 95.7%
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	1,347,944,384	1,291,363,592	95.7 %	1,758,237,874	1,683,236,200	95.7 %
	1,017,011,001	1,201,000,002		1,100,201,011	1,000,200,200	
OPERATING EXPENSES						
<b>OPERATION &amp; MAINTENANCE EXPENSES</b>	904,132,356	863,119,398	95.5%	1,209,651,994	1,154,780,153	95.5%
DEPRECIATION EXPENSE	137,896,300	132,136,256	95.8%	187,945,683	180,095,035	95.8%
AMORTIZATION OF LIMITED TERM PLANT	3,994,103	3,827,696	95.8%	5,439,874	5,213,231	95.8%
TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME	21,599,657	19,903,828	92.1%	25,081,924	23,112,696	92.1%
REGULATORY DEBITS/CREDITS PROVISION FOR DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	1,384,615	1,146,334	82.8%	1,846,154	1,528,445	82.8%
PROVISION FOR DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	(16,780,812)	(16,131,902)	96.1% 95.7%	(22,518,627)	(21,647,836)	96.1%
INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT ADJUSTMENT FEDERAL INCOME TAXES	10,660,148 32,639,240	10,204,953 31,794,214	95.7% 97.4%	50,193,136 (4,035,971)	48,049,858 (3,931,480)	95.7% 97.4%
STATE INCOME TAXES	8,535,520	8,344,625	97.8%	319,336	312,194	97.8%
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	1,104,061,128	1,054,345,402		1,453,923,503	1,387,512,294	01.070
OPERATING INCOME	243,883,256	237,018,190		304,314,371	295,723,906	
ADD: IERCO OPERATING INCOME	5,742,172	5,490,626	95.6%	8,033,987	7,682,044	95.6%
OPERATING INCOME BEFORE OTHER INCOME AND DEDUCTIONS	249,625,428	242,508,817		312,348,358	303,405,950	97.1%
ADD: AFUDC EQUITY				43,221,277	41,321,921	95.6% (L
ADD: OTHER INCOME AND DEDUCTIONS				17,357,747	16,860,801	97.1% (L
INCOME BEFORE INTEREST CHARGES				372,927,382	361,588,672	
				116,116,912	111,014,162	95.6% (L
LESS: INTEREST CHARGES				110,110,012	111,014,102	55.570 (E
NET INCOME				256,810,470	250,574,510	
ACTUAL YEAR-END RESULTS - BEFORE ITC ADJUSTMENT						
EARNINGS ON COMMON STOCK				256,810,470	250,574,510	
COMMON EQUITY AT YEAR END				2,782,171,830	2,659,909,470	95.6% (L1
				0.000/	0.400/	
RETURN ON YEAR-END COMMON EQUITY				9.23%	9.42%	
EARNINGS ON COMMON STOCK @ 9.40 ROE				261,524,152	250,031,490	(1 44 * 9 4%)
EARNINGS ON COMMON STOCK @ 1.40 ROE				278,217,183	265,990,947	,
EARNINGS ON COMMON STOCK @ 10.50 ROE				292,128,042		(L44 * 10.5%)
-						. ,
ACTUAL YEAR-END RESULTS - AFTER ITC ADJUSTMENT:						
INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT ADJUSTMENT						(L48-L43) / (1-9.4%)
ADJUSTED EARNINGS ON COMMON STOCK					249,975,150	
ADJUSTED COMMON EQUITY AT YEAR-END					2,659,310,110	
ADJUSTED RETURN ON YEAR-END COMMON EQUITY					9.40%	
IF IDAHO RETURN ON COMMON EQUITY (Line 46) <9.4%						
	54 is negative, then 0; if po	sitive, then smaller of L5.	4 or \$25.000.000		0	
		2, 2.2.2 Smaller of E0			U U	
IF IDAHO RETURN ON COMMON EQUITY (Line 46) >10%						
IDAHO EARNINGS GREATER THAN 10% ROE BUT LESS T	HAN 10.5%				0	(L43-L49)/(1-10%)
IF IDAHO RETURN ON COMMON EQUITY (Line 46) >10.5%						
INCREMENTAL IDAHO EARNINGS GREATER THAN 10.50%	ROE				0	(L43-L50)/(1-10.5%)
Per Order #34071:					After Tax	Tax Gross Up
ROE between 10%-10.5%CUSTOMER SHARE - 80% (Red	uction to rates)				0	-
ROE between 10%-10.5%COMPANY SHARE - 20% ROE greater than 10.5% (Incremental) CUSTOMER SHARE	- 55% (Reduction to rates	)			0 0	
ROE greater than 10.5% (Incremental) CUSTOMER SHARE ROE greater than 10.5% (Incremental) CUSTOMER SHARE					0	
ROE greater than 10.5% (Incremental) COMPANY SHARE -					0	-
KOE greater than 10.5% (incremental)COMPART SHARE -					0	
					Ŭ	

### **BEFORE THE**

# IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

## CASE NO. IPC-E-24-17

**IDAHO POWER COMPANY** 

BRADY, DI TESTIMONY

**CONFIDENTIAL** EXHIBIT NO. 4

### **BEFORE THE**

# IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

## CASE NO. IPC-E-24-17

**IDAHO POWER COMPANY** 

BRADY, DI TESTIMONY

**CONFIDENTIAL** EXHIBIT NO. 5