



Craig A. Jones
Project Manager
Hydro Relicensing Department

(208) 388-2934
fax (208) 388-6902
e-mail cjones@idahopower.com

November 3, 2004

Magalie R. Salas, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Room 1A East
Washington, D.C. 20426

Re: FERC Docket Number P-1971-079, Additional Information Requests LU-1 (Project Boundary Change) and DR-1 (Thermal Alternative Cost of Capital) for the Hells Canyon Project (FERC Project 1971)

Dear Secretary Salas:

Enclosed for filing with the Commission is an original and eight (8) CD copies of additional information requests (AIR) LU-1 and DR-1. Each CD contains complete copies of LU-1 and DR-1.

In addition to the above, AIR DR-1 includes an original and eight (8) CD copies of Idaho Power Company's most recent Integrated Resource Plan as requested by the Commission, and AIR LU-1 includes an original and eight (8) printed copies of the requested USGS quad maps.

Please contact me with any questions regarding this filing.

Sincerely,

Craig A. Jones

CAJ/da

Enclosures
By Federal Express
Cc: Service List
Allan Mitchnick, FERC
Jim Vasile, Davis Wright Tremaine
Jim Tucker, IPC
Nathan Gardiner, IPC



Responses to FERC Additional Information Request LU-1

Project Boundary Change

Final Report

Gil Green
Real Estate Specialist

September 2004

Hells Canyon Project
FERC No. P-1971-079

Copyright © 2004 by Idaho Power Company

Table of Contents

List of Comment Letters	ii
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	ii
Schedule A: Additional Information Request LU-1—Project Boundary Change	1
1. Introduction.....	1
2. Responses.....	2
2.1. Response to (a)—Acreage of Parcels to be Excluded	2
2.2. Response to (b)—Descriptions of Existing Improvements on Parcels to be Excluded.....	2
2.3. Response to (c)—Description of Existing Land Use.....	3
2.4. Response to (d)—Evidence that Land is Not Needed	3
Operation and Maintenance	3
Flowage.....	4
Recreation and Public Access	4
Protection for Endangered Species and Cultural and Natural Resources	4
Shoreline Control	4
Shoreline Aesthetic Values	5
3. Consultation Comments and Response to Comments	5
3.1. Idaho Department of Fish and Game.....	5
IPC’s Response:	5
3.2. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service	5
IPC’s Response:	5
3.3. Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management	6
IPC’s Response:	6
3.4. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.....	8
IPC’s Response:	8

LIST OF COMMENT LETTERS

IPC Letter to Consulting Agencies.....	9
IDFG Response Letter.....	13
USFS Response Letter.....	15
BLM Response Letter.....	17

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Hells Canyon Project—Acreage of Land Proposed for Removal by Parcel.	21
Table 2.	Oxbow Project—Acreage of Land Proposed for Removal by Parcel.	25
Table 3.	Brownlee Project—Acreage of Land Proposed for Removal by Parcel.	28
Table 4.	Total Acres Proposed for Removal.	41

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Project Boundary Change (8 panels).....	43
-----------	---	----

SCHEDULE A: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUEST LU-1— PROJECT BOUNDARY CHANGE

Time Required: 6 months

In your license application, you propose to reduce the area within the project boundary by 3,800 acres. In exhibit A.6, you state that the 3,800 acres of land within the current project boundary but outside the proposed project boundary are not necessary for project purposes, such as public recreation, shoreline control, or protection of environmental resources. You further indicate that the proposed project boundary change would have no effect on mitigation of project effects on any lands near the project. However, you provide no support for these statements in your application.

More information on the basis for your decision to propose removal of the lands from the project boundary is needed. Therefore, after consultation with FS, BLM, IDFG, and ODFW, provide the following information for each parcel proposed for exclusion from the project boundary:

- (a) Acreage of the parcel.
- (b) Description of existing improvements on the land.
- (c) Description of existing land use.
- (d) Substantial evidence that the land is not needed for project purposes, such as operation and maintenance, flowage, recreation, public access, endangered species protection, cultural resource protection, and other natural resource protection, or shoreline control, including shoreline aesthetic values.

Parcels with similar characteristics may be grouped in the narrative, as long as all parcels within the group are clearly identified in Subpart (a) and cross-referenced to the map(s). The narrative may be submitted in a standard template or table format to facilitate compilation and review of the information.

The information should include a map (or maps) and supporting text. The map(s) (7.5-min. U.S. Geological Survey [USGS] quads.) should show the 3,800 acres proposed for exclusion, identifying the current boundary, proposed boundary, contour elevations, and land ownership.

Include comments from consulted entities on your response to items (a) through (d) and your response to their comments with your filing.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Hells Canyon Complex¹ is located on the Snake River, which forms a portion of the border between Idaho and Oregon. The on-river facilities extend from the upper end of Brownlee Reservoir at approximately river mile (RM) 343 to Hells Canyon Dam at RM 247, a distance of just under 100 miles.

¹ The complex of three hydroelectric developments and the northernmost of these developments are both called the Hells Canyon Project. To avoid confusion, Idaho Power Company refers to the entire complex (FERC No. 1971) as the Hells Canyon Complex and to the northernmost development as the Hells Canyon Project.

Landownership within the project is a patchwork of federal, state, and private ownership. Upon construction of the complex, Idaho Power Company (IPC) purchased the rights to use private and state land within the project boundary, by either fee ownership or flooding easements. Generally, the land was purchased to a contour that is a few feet above the proposed full-reservoir elevation. This situation allows for a freeboard or buffer area. This buffer area provides additional capacity for a probable maximum flood event and allows for a certain amount of wave action along the shore of the reservoir. On private land, the project boundary generally follows the appropriate contour for the reservoir level, while on federal land, the project boundary currently follows surveyed section lines or sectional subdivision lines per the United States Public Land Survey.

The objective of the proposed boundary change is to make the boundary on federal land consistent with the boundary on private land and consistent with Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) guidelines for project boundaries (CFR Title 18 Section 4.51[h]).

2. RESPONSES

2.1. Response to (a)—Acreage of Parcels to be Excluded

More information on the basis for your decision to propose removal of the lands from the project boundary is needed. Therefore, after consultation with FS, BLM, IDFG, and ODFW, provide the following information for each parcel proposed for exclusion from the project boundary:

- (a) Acreage of the parcel.

IPC proposes to reduce the acreage on federal land around the reservoirs since the projects have no effect on lands above the accepted freeboard contour elevation. Those lands and acreages are included in Tables 1 through 3 (for Hells Canyon, Oxbow, and Brownlee projects, respectively). The tables list parcels, show the acreage of each, and describe existing land use by IPC, which is usually “None.” These lands proposed to be excluded are generally for public multiple uses. Table 4 summarizes the total acres proposed for removal.

2.2. Response to (b)—Descriptions of Existing Improvements on Parcels to be Excluded

More information on the basis for your decision to propose removal of the lands from the project boundary is needed. Therefore, after consultation with FS, BLM, IDFG, and ODFW, provide the following information for each parcel proposed for exclusion from the project boundary:

- (b) Description of existing improvements on the land.

There are no project-related improvements on any parcel proposed to be removed from the project boundary. Where project facilities exist, either the boundary is not proposed to be changed or the boundary is proposed to be relocated to include the facility and allow for operation and maintenance.

2.3. Response to (c)—Description of Existing Land Use

More information on the basis for your decision to propose removal of the lands from the project boundary is needed. Therefore, after consultation with FS, BLM, IDFG, and ODFW, provide the following information for each parcel proposed for exclusion from the project boundary:

- (c) Description of existing land use.

The existing land use of proposed exclusions is generally public multiple use. Like most public lands in the region, the land is used mostly for recreation. People camp in dispersed recreation sites in some areas. In addition, at Swedes Landing (near RM 304) near the project boundary line, there is a primitive developed recreation site that has two vault toilets. Also, some areas are included in grazing allotments.

2.4. Response to (d)—Evidence that Land is Not Needed

More information on the basis for your decision to propose removal of the lands from the project boundary is needed. Therefore, after consultation with FS, BLM, IDFG, and ODFW, provide the following information for each parcel proposed for exclusion from the project boundary:

- (d) Substantial evidence that the land is not needed for project purposes, such as operation and maintenance, flowage, recreation, public access, endangered species protection, cultural resource protection, and other natural resource protection, or shoreline control, including shoreline aesthetic values.

Operation and Maintenance

Swedes Landing is located on lands proposed for exclusion. This site is owned by the federal government and managed by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM). IPC's license application for the Hells Canyon Complex includes three proposals pertaining to Swedes' Landing: performance of operation and maintenance (O&M) at IPC-enhanced BLM and U.S. Forest Service (USFS) reservoir-related recreation sites (see section E.5.4.4.1.7. of the license application), development of a low-water boat launch at or near Swedes' Landing (section E.5.4.4.5.1.), and enhancement of Swedes Landing (section E.5.4.4.5.2.). Under all three proposals, IPC would consult with the BLM and other appropriate agencies, cover construction costs, and perform O&M on reservoir-related recreation improvements, regardless of whether these enhancements occur within the project boundary. Therefore, these measures would not be affected by the proposed project boundary change.

Flowage

Lands proposed for exclusion lie above the reservoirs, and the contour used as the new boundary is consistent with the contour elevation used on private lands within the project boundary. These contour elevations allow for probable maximum flooding events and have been accepted by FERC as adequate on private lands. Therefore, a boundary based on this contour elevation should be adequate on federal land as well. Shoreline control would continue to be maintained by IPC within the freeboard area.

Recreation and Public Access

All lands proposed for exclusion from the project boundary are public lands administered by federal agencies for multiple uses. The BLM Vale District in Oregon, BLM Lower Snake River District in Idaho, and USFS Payette National Forest, Seven Devils Scenic Area, in Idaho manage these lands. Therefore, recreation and public access would continue to be allowed regardless of whether these lands are within the project boundary. As mentioned in the section on O&M above, improvements for primitive recreational sites at Swedes Landing are proposed on land that would be excluded. These improvements would not be affected by the proposed project boundary change.

Protection for Endangered Species and Cultural and Natural Resources

Since all lands proposed for exclusion from the project boundary are managed by either the Department of Agriculture (USFS) or Department of Interior (BLM) for multiple use, the land would continue to be managed for endangered species protection, cultural resource protection, and other natural resource protection. Even though these lands are currently withdrawn under the Federal Power Act, IPC understands that its federal license gives no authority to manage federal lands. Therefore, management of the land would be essentially the same as it is now.

Shoreline Control

At the establishment of the project, a contour lying a few feet above the proposed full-reservoir elevation was established as the project boundary to allow for a freeboard or buffer area. This buffer area provides additional capacity for a probable maximum flood event and allows for a certain amount of wave action along the shore of the reservoir. IPC applied this contour on private lands purchased for the project and now proposes to apply that contour on federal lands. Shoreline control would continue to be maintained by IPC within the freeboard area.

Shoreline Aesthetic Values

Since they are federally owned and managed, the lands proposed for exclusion from the project boundary are not open for private development whether they occur within or outside the project boundary. They are managed under federal visual resource management objectives and would be adequately protected for shoreline aesthetic values.

3. CONSULTATION COMMENTS AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

3.1. Idaho Department of Fish and Game

In a letter dated October 4, 2004, Idaho Fish and Game stated that it “does not object to the proposal and we do not offer any comments on the matter.” That letter is attached.

IPC's Response:

None needed.

3.2. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service

Response letter is attached.

IPC's Response:

The USDA Forest Service (FS) correctly indicates that the proposed project boundary will be 100 feet east of the centerline of Road #454 (the Oxbow-Hells Canyon Road), and that IPC's 69kV transmission line is within the project boundary.

The following are responses to specific issues raised within the FS letter:

- a) Acreage of the parcels:

The FS agrees with the acreages listed on Table 1 of LU-1.

- b) Description of improvements:

The FS agrees with the statement that there are no improvements on the lands involved.

c) Description of land use:

IPC understands that the FS has guides for the management of the land proposed for removal as listed within the letter.

d) Substantial evidence that the land is not needed for project purposes.

It is IPC's understanding that the FS essentially agrees with IPC's evidence statements with the possible exception of recreation. The FS would like to leave open the possibility of further recreation development. IPC has proposed recreation measures in its FLA based on study findings and project effects. IPC is proposing to monitor recreation within the area of the Hells Canyon Complex over the course of the license. If additional recreation needs arise, they will be addressed consistent with the requirements of the new license.

The FS would like a written description of the project boundary.

A written description was not part of FERC's AIR. The project boundary is depicted in greater detail in Exhibit G of the FLA and complies with FERC regulations for submitting such information.

3.3. Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management

Response letter is attached.

IPC's Response:

BLM is concerned about evaluation, disclosure, and mitigation of impacts on land that is proposed for removal from the project boundary. The emphasis of IPC studies was on project effects and existing conditions and was not limited in scope by the project boundary. IPC performed extensive studies within the project boundary and elsewhere. Therefore, mitigation proposed within the FLA applies to project effects not only within the proposed project boundary but to lands IPC identified as being affected by project operations.

The following are responses to specific issues stated in BLM's letter:

a) Acreage of the parcels:

As requested by BLM column was added to tables 1-3 showing the agency that administers the land proposed for removal, and table 4 is changed to show the total acreage for each agency.

BLM raised a concern about the difference between the table and the GIS data. The difference is explained by the fact that acreages within the table were electronically calculated from the Exhibit G

maps. These maps are a much larger scale (more precise) and were developed from aerial surveys with on the ground control. The GIS data furnished to the BLM consisted of contour lines that make up the project boundary was developed by modeling from other contour lines in an existing database. The total from the Exhibit G maps is 3773.00 acres and the GIS total is 3903.72 acres. IPC believes this is an acceptable difference because of the conversion involved.

b) Description of improvements:

The BLM stated that it is not apparent whether IPC is proposing to enlarge the project boundary to include existing project facilities. IPC does not propose to expand the project boundary, as all project facilities are located within the existing project boundary. The areas in question include the two roads within the project boundary that are owned and maintained by IPC. The roads are within the existing project boundary. The project boundary as proposed would be changed to follow the landward side of the road right-of-way (100 feet east of the Oxbow-Hells Canyon road and 50 feet west of the Brownlee-Oxbow Road) rather than a contour line. Using the road right-of-way line eliminates the need for having a linear right of way in close proximity to the reservoir boundary.

c) Description of land use:

Based on a review of current land use, IPC believes that there is no dedicated land use on any parcel proposed for removal from the project boundary that is inconsistent with federally managed public lands. The recreation mentioned by the BLM is classified as dispersed recreation and occurs without facilities. Consequently, there are no project facilities in the area proposed for removal. Therefore, IPC believes the federal land where dispersed recreation sites occur is not needed for project purposes, and that casual recreation can continue here without inclusion of these lands within the project boundary.

In its FLA, IPC identified and proposed mitigation for impacts to cultural sites as a result of on-going project operations.

In the FLA and consistent with FERC regulations, IPC proposes to monitor recreation related to the Hells Canyon Complex whether it takes place within the project boundary or not.

All project effects are identified in the FLA. IPC directs the BLM there for a full discussion of project effects, including the locations of specific effects.

BLM specifically raised the issue of slumping in its letter. IPC studied slumping along all reservoirs as well as the free flowing reaches of the Snake River. The studies revealed only 83.86 acres of slumped area along the reservoirs. Most of the slump is within the freeboard area. IPC has proposed mitigation measures in the FLA that addresses the slumped areas mentioned.

- d) Substantial evidence that the land is not needed for project purposes.

Here again, the BLM raised the issue of recreation sites. As previously mentioned, these recreation sites are classified as dispersed recreation and no improvements are located within the area proposed for removal from the project boundary.

All project effects are identified in the FLA. IPC directs the BLM there for a full discussion of project effects, including the locations of specific effects.

The BLM states on page three of its letter that it “does not object to changing the project boundary as long as the project impacts are mitigated appropriately.” In its FLA, IPC has proposed to mitigate for project related impacts within the project area and does not confine its proposal to identified impacts solely within the project boundary.

3.4. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

No comment received.

IPC's Response:

None needed.



**IDAHO
POWER**

An IDACORP Company

IDAHO POWER COMPANY
P.O. BOX 70
BOISE, IDAHO 83707

phone: (208) 388-2795,

GIL GREEN SR/WA
Real Estate Specialist
fax: (208) 388-6927 e-mail Ggreen@idahopower.com

September 27, 2004

Richard Markley
U.S. Forest Service
Wallowa-Whitman National Forest
1550 Dewey Avenue
P.O. Box 907
Baker City, Oregon 97814

Dear Ms. Wood:

Enclosed for you review and comment is Idaho Power Company's (IPC) response to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) request for additional information LU-1.

In our Hells Canyon Complex license application IPC proposed to reduce the project boundary on federal lands by approximately 3,800 acres. This proposal was to make the project boundary consistent on private and federal lands. Generally the project boundary on private lands is a contour line a few feet above the full reservoir level. The project boundary on federal lands was based on Public Land survey lines. The objective of the proposed boundary change is to make the boundary on federal land consistent with that on private land, and consistent with FERC guidelines for project boundaries (CFR Title 18 Section 4.51 (h)).

FERC has requested that the mapping be done on 7.5-minute U.S. Geological Survey quad maps. The maps included are reductions of that format. I sent reduced maps for ease of handling. If you would like the larger maps, you may contact me and I will send you full size maps.

To have your comments addressed in the submittal to FERC, please send your comments to me by October 28, 2004.

If you have any questions, call me at (208) 388-2795.

Sincerely,



Gil Green

cc: Alan Mitchnick
Craig Jones



An IDACORP Company

IDAHO POWER COMPANY
P.O. BOX 70
BOISE, IDAHO 83707

GIL GREEN SR/WA
Real Estate Specialist
phone: (208) 388-2795, fax: (208) 388-6927 e-mail Ggreen@idahopower.com

September 27, 2004

Colleen Fagan
Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
107 20th Street
La Grande, Oregon 97850

Dear Ms. Fagen:

Enclosed for you review and comment is Idaho Power Company's (IPC) response to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) request for additional information LU-1.

In our Hells Canyon Complex license application IPC proposed to reduce the project boundary on federal lands by approximately 3,800 acres. This proposal was to make the project boundary consistent on private and federal lands. Generally the project boundary on private lands is a contour line a few feet above the full reservoir level. The project boundary on federal lands was based on Public Land survey lines. The objective of the proposed boundary change is to make the boundary on federal land consistent with that on private land, and consistent with FERC guidelines for project boundaries (CFR Title 18 Section 4.51 (h)).

FERC has requested that the mapping be done on 7.5-minute U.S. Geological Survey quad maps. The maps included are reductions of that format. I sent reduced maps for ease of handling. If you would like the larger maps, you may contact me and I will send you full size maps.

To have your comments addressed in the submittal to FERC, please send your comments to me by October 28, 2004.

If you have any questions, call me at (208) 388-2795.

Sincerely,



Gil Green

cc: Alan Mitchnick
Craig Jones



**IDAHO
POWER**

An IDACORP Company

IDAHO POWER COMPANY
P.O. BOX 70
BOISE, IDAHO 83707

phone: (208) 388-2795, fax: (208) 388-6927 e-mail Ggreen@idahapower.com

GIL GREEN SR/WA
Real Estate Specialist

September 27, 2004

Tracey Trent
Idaho Department of Fish and Game
600 South Walnut
Boise, Idaho 83702

Dear Mr. Trent:

Enclosed for you review and comment is Idaho Power Company's (IPC) response to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) request for additional information LU-1.

In our Hells Canyon Complex license application IPC proposed to reduce the project boundary on federal lands by approximately 3,800 acres. This proposal was to make the project boundary consistent on private and federal lands. Generally the project boundary on private lands is a contour line a few feet above the full reservoir level. The project boundary on federal lands was based on Public Land survey lines. The objective of the proposed boundary change is to make the boundary on federal land consistent with that on private land, and consistent with FERC guidelines for project boundaries (CFR Title 18 Section 4.51 (h)).

FERC has requested that the mapping be done on 7.5-minute U.S. Geological Survey quad maps. The maps included are reductions of that format. I sent reduced maps for ease of handling. If you would like the larger maps, you may contact me and I will send you full size maps.

To have your comments addressed in the submittal to FERC, please send your comments to me by October 28, 2004.

If you have any questions, call me at (208) 388-2795.

Sincerely,



Gil Green

cc: Alan Mitchnick
Craig Jones



An IDACORP Company

IDAHO POWER COMPANY
P.O. BOX 70
BOISE, IDAHO 83707

GIL GREEN SR/WA
Real Estate Specialist
phone: (208) 388-2795, fax: (208) 388-6927 e-mail Ggreen@idahopower.com

September 27, 2004

David Henderson
Bureau of Land Management
100 Oregon Street
Vale, Oregon 97918

Dear Mr. Henderson:

Enclosed for you review and comment is Idaho Power Company's (IPC) response to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) request for additional information LU-1.


In our Hells Canyon Complex license application IPC proposed to reduce the project boundary on federal lands by approximately 3,800 acres. This proposal was to make the project boundary consistent on private and federal lands. Generally the project boundary on private lands is a contour line a few feet above the full reservoir level. The project boundary on federal lands was based on Public Land survey lines. The objective of the proposed boundary change is to make the boundary on federal land consistent with that on private land, and consistent with FERC guidelines for project boundaries (CFR Title 18 Section 4.51 (h)).

FERC has requested that the mapping be done on 7.5-minute U.S. Geological Survey quad maps. The maps included are reductions of that format. I sent reduced maps for ease of handling. If you would like the larger maps, you may contact me and I will send you full size maps.

To have your comments addressed in the submittal to FERC, please send your comments to me by October 28, 2004.

If you have any questions, call me at (208) 388-2795.

Sincerely,



Gil Green

cc: Alan Mitchnick
Craig Jones



IDAHO FISH & GAME

600 South Walnut
P.O. Box 25
Boise, Idaho 83707-0025

Dirk Kempthorne / Governor
Steven M. Huffaker / Director

October 4, 2004

Gil Green
Idaho Power Company
P.O. Box 70
Boise, Idaho 893707

Re: Hells Canyon Complex, FERC Project No. 1971
Additional Information Request LU-1

Dear Mr. Green:

The Idaho Department of Fish and Game has reviewed Idaho Power Company's response to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) request for additional information LU-1. In the Idaho Power Company license application for the Hells Canyon Complex, you proposed to reduce the area within the project boundary by 3,800 acres in order to make the boundary on federal land consistent with that on private land, and consistent with FERC guidelines for project boundaries.

The Idaho Department of Fish and Game does not object to the proposal and we do not offer any comments on the matter. Thank you for the opportunity to review this additional information request.

Sincerely,

Tracey Trent, Chief
Natural Resources Policy Bureau

This page left blank intentionally.



File Code: 2770

Date: October 28, 2004

Mr. Gil Green
Idaho Power Company
P.O. Box 70
Boise, ID 83707

SENT VIA E-MAIL

Dear Mr. Green:

The USDA Forest Service (USDA FS) appreciates the opportunity to comment on Idaho Power Company's (IPC) response to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) Additional Information Request Project Boundary Change (LU-1) for the Hells Canyon Hydroelectric Project.

In the Hells Canyon Hydroelectric license application IPC proposes to reduce the project boundary on federal lands by approximately 3,800 acres. The objective of IPC's proposed boundary change is to make the boundary on federal lands consistent with that on private lands, and consistent with FERC guidelines for project boundaries (CFR Title 18 Section 4.51 (h)). Approximately 331 acres of National Forest System (NFS) lands along the Idaho side of the Hells Canyon reservoir are included in this proposed adjustment.

FERC requested that IPC after consultation with FS, BLM, IDFG, and ODFW, provide the following information for each parcel proposed for exclusion from the project boundary: a) acreage of the parcel, b) description of existing improvements on the land, c) description of existing land use, and d) evidence that the land is not needed for project operations. In reviewing the maps that IPC provided to the USDA FS, it was uncertain where the new boundary proposal was actually located on the ground. In a telephone conversation between yourself and Lynn Roehm of my staff, the location of the actual boundary location was established. It is our understanding that the proposed boundary revisions location for the east side of the Hells Canyon reservoir is "100 feet east of the centerline of Road # 454". This boundary would then include Road # 454 and IPC's 69kv power line within the project boundary.

Based on this understanding of the proposed boundary change, the USDA FS is providing the following information in regards to those parcels located on NFS lands:

- a) Acreage of the parcels is listed in Table 1 of IPC's Boundary Report.
- b) There are no known improvements on the lands involved.
- c) The use of these lands is guided by the Management Area Descriptions and Direction found in the Payette National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan. Those parcels of land located north of McGraw Creek are in Management Prescription 4.2 – Roaded Recreation. This prescription applies to lands where dispersed and developed recreation uses are the primary emphasis. Those parcel located south of McGraw Creek are in Management Prescription 6.1 – Restoration and Maintenance Emphasis within Shrubland and Grassland Landscapes. This



prescription applies to lands that are predominantly (> 50 percent) shrubland and grassland. See Payette National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Chapter III, pages III-86 through III-89 for additional information.

d) The USDA FS agrees with IPC's evidence statements with the possible exception of recreation. There may be some opportunities for recreation enhancements that may or may not be within the proposed boundary. There are no known heritage resource sites or T&E sites within the proposed parcels.

The USDA FS requests, as a part of this additional information report, that IPC submit a written project boundary description. We request also, the opportunity to have our Forest Land Surveyor review this report prior to final submittal to FERC.

If you have any questions regarding this response, please contact Lynn Roehm, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest Hydropower Coordinator, at (541) 523-1316.

Sincerely,

/s/ Steven A. Ellis
STEVEN A. ELLIS
Forest Supervisor

cc: Alan Mitchnick, Payette NF



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Vale District Office
100 Oregon Street
Vale, Oregon 97918

IN REPLY REFER TO:

1780

October 29, 2004

Mr. Gil Green
Mr. Craig Jones
Idaho Power Company
P. O. Box 70
Boise, ID 83707

Dear Mr. Green and Mr. Jones:

Thank you for your September 27, 2004 request for our comments on your response to the Federal Energy Regulation Commission's (FERC) Additional Information Request (AIR) LU-1 for relicensing project P-1971. This AIR, LU-1, requested information on lands proposed for removal from the project boundary. This response covers comments from BLM offices in Oregon, Washington and Idaho.

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has concerns with changing the project boundary because project impacts on public lands must be disclosed, addressed and mitigated. BLM has offered comments and requested information on the proposed project boundary change for the past year and a half. BLM commented in a letter dated January 9, 2003, Idaho Power Company (IPC) on the Draft License Application (DLA). BLM stated:

"BLM lands that are within the project boundary may be used by IPC for project purposes pursuant to the Federal Power Act through a license issued by the FERC. However, those public lands managed by the BLM for other purposes, not inconsistent with IPC's project operations, are managed by BLM for multiple use management under BLM policies, laws, regulations, land use plans, biological opinions and other appropriate guidance. Examples include but are not limited to: the Taylor Grazing Act, The Endangered Species Act (ESA), biological opinions, and compliance with the Inland Native Fish Policy (INFISH), Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), Archeological Resources Protection Act (ARPA), BLM Land Use Plan decisions and others.

Specific Comments:

The document reflects a proposed changed project boundary. BLM was unaware of this proposed change. A change in project boundary can be made by FERC but project impacts that occur in the area to be excluded from the proposed boundaries must still be evaluated, disclosed and mitigated by the Applicant.

The Final License Application (FLA) did not address these concerns. In BLM's letter to FERC dated September 19, 2003, regarding additional study requests, BLM stated:

“Revised” Project Boundary. Both the DLA and FLA reflect a proposed change in the project boundary which BLM was officially not made aware of until the release of the aforementioned documents. A change in the project boundary can be made by FERC but project impacts that occur in the area to be excluded from the proposed boundaries must still be evaluated, disclosed and mitigated by the Applicant. In a July 20, 1966 MOU between the Federal Power Commission (now FERC), and the Department of the Interior, under Section I(A), Petitions for Restoration or Vacation of Power Withdrawals under Section 24, it states, “After the effective date of this memorandum all petitions for restoration or vacation of power withdrawals under Section 24, shall be directed to the BLM. BLM will make a determination as to whether land disposals including changes and other transfers sought in petitions are consistent with proper lands use. Where it is not consistent, the BLM will reject the petition (in accordance with Departmental procedures) without referral to other agencies.”

BLM further commented to FERC in a letter dated October 20, 2003 on their Scoping Document 1 (SD-1) the following:

“The Applicant’s FLA reflects a proposed changed project boundary. BLM was unaware of this proposed change until the Draft License application (DLA) was published. A change in project boundary can be made by FERC but project impacts that occur in the area to be excluded from the revised proposed boundaries must still be evaluated, disclosed and mitigated by the Applicant.

On May 4, 2004 FERC required IPC to do the LU-1 AIR. It requests IPC to provide specific details with regard to the lands to be dropped from the project boundary. It further requests IPC to consult with BLM and other affected agencies prior to submission to FERC. BLM offers this response to the specific components of LU-1.

With regard to the document sent to us by IPC on September 27, 2004 titled, “Responses to FERC Additional Information Request LU-1, Project Boundary Change Final Report,” it is BLM’s opinion that this does not completely meet the requirements described in the AIR. The following discussion will address the missing information needs for each of the AIR LU-1 items.

a). Acreage of the parcels:

The document does not specify whether the federal acreage is on BLM or USFS. The BLM GIS staff has made some analysis of our records for the acreages and found some apparent differences. We need to reconcile these differences between the two sets of records. This information is needed and requested.

b). Description of existing improvements on the land:

IPC states on page 2, “Where our project facilities exist, the boundary is not proposed to be changed or is proposed to be relocated to include the facility and to allow for operation and maintenance.” Upon review of the Table 1, it is not apparent whether you have enlarged the boundary or not. Please clarify where these sites are and specify how you plan to authorize these additions to the lands within the project boundary.

c). Description of existing land use:

Please be more specific about land use on each parcel, particularly where project impacts are occurring. We would like you to overlay your project impacts data layers with the old and

proposed project boundary and land status, then specify what impacts are occurring within the area to be dropped. For example, we know you have erosion and slumping data and map layers available. We also know some these areas are on BLM lands. It seems appropriate to show this as requested. We also know there are cultural resource sites within the area to be dropped that are currently being affected by the project. Some are affected by erosion and/or slumping and some are affected by recreation use or roads. We have attempted to map these based on the records provided by IPC, but it would be most accurate, if you superimposed the data layers for a better display. Our review of the IPC records shows at least seven sites in Oregon and at least 38 sites in Idaho within the project boundary. Some of these cultural sites, according to your records, are impacted by slumping, erosion, recreation use, vandalism or roads.


d). Substantial evidence that the land is not needed for project purposes ...

There are at least 15 recreation use areas, as determined from IPC maps that occur on the land to be dropped from the boundary. It would be easiest to evaluate these impacts if the GIS layers for recreation use were superimposed over the proposed boundary changes and land status. There are also sites important to Special Status Species, rare plants, riparian vegetation and areas requiring noxious weed treatment all within the area to be dropped from the project boundary. Many of these resources are affected by project operations. Please display this information along with the old and new project boundaries and land status. We believe this evaluation is possible and refer you to the maps and tables found in the IPC report, "Influences of Human Activities on Terrestrial Resources Associated with the Hells Canyon Hydroelectric Project, June 2002." This information is already overlaid to a large extent. If you use it and add the two project boundaries with land status, you will have a good start. Tables would need to reflect this information as well as project impacts.

Once the project impacts are displayed, it will be easier to determine how the proposed change to the project boundary may affect Idaho Power's ability to mitigate for project impacts on these and adjacent lands. Additional mitigation measures possibly requiring Idaho Power Company's use of the land proposed to be excluded from the project boundary may be needed to accomplish this mitigation. BLM does not object to changing the project boundary as long as the project impacts are mitigated appropriately. This cannot be done until they are displayed as requested.

We look forward to your additions to the September 2004 document. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this. Please contact Dorothy Mason at 541-523-1308 for questions. Please send any revisions or documents to her attention at, Bureau of Land Management, 3165 10th Street, Baker City, OR 97814.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "David R. Henderson". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "D" and "H".

David R. Henderson
Vale District Manager

cc: Alan Mitchnick, FERC
Service List

This page left blank intentionally.

Table 1. Hells Canyon Project—Acreage of Land Proposed for Removal by Parcel.

Description of Parcel		Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
HELLS CANYON PROJECT							
IDAHO							
T22N-R3W							
Section 21	Lot 1	20.95	7.85	13.10	None	None	USFS
	Lot 2	16.67	4.52	12.15	None	None	USFS
	Lot 3	18.15	4.19	13.96	None	None	USFS
		55.77	16.56	39.21			
Section 28	Lot 4	16.14	3.03	13.11	None	None	USFS
	Lot 5	15.89	2.02	13.87	None	None	USFS
		32.03	5.05	26.98			
Section 33	Lot 1	16.28	4.82	11.46	None	None	USFS
	Lot 2	20.18	6.76	13.42	None	None	USFS
	Lot 3	16.90	4.83	12.07	None	None	USFS
	Lot 4	26.27	5.76	20.51	None	None	USFS
		79.63	22.17	57.46			
T21N-R3W							
Section 4	Lot 3	10.86	3.86	7.00	None	None	USFS
	Lot 4	20.61	4.36	16.25	None	None	USFS
	Lot 7	14.55	0.61	13.94	None	None	USFS
	Lot 8	24.55	4.84	19.71	None	None	USFS
	Lot 9	32.80	11.53	21.27	None	None	USFS
	SE NW	5.00	3.93	1.07	None	None	USFS
		108.37	29.13	79.24			
Section 8	Lot 2	30.49	4.79	25.70	None	None	USFS
	Lot 3	29.29	4.36	24.93	None	None	USFS
	Lot 4	26.66	0.65	26.01	None	None	USFS
		86.44	9.80	76.64			
Section 9	NW NW	17.50	7.25	10.25	None	None	USFS
	SW NW	2.50	2.13	0.37	None	None	USFS
		20.00	9.38	10.62			
Section 17	Lot 1	28.47	0.92	27.55	None	None	USFS
	Lot 2	31.32	14.04	17.28	None	None	USFS
	Lot 3	23.31	4.75	18.56	None	None	USFS
	Lot 4	24.51	10.21	14.30	None	None	USFS
	NE SE	2.50	1.48	1.02	None	None	USFS
		110.11	31.40	78.71			

Table 1. (Cont.)

Description of Parcel			Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
HELLS CANYON PROJECT								
IDAHO								
Section 20	Lot 1		2.47	2.47	0.00	None	None	USFS
	Lot 2		15.68	7.56	8.12	None	None	USFS
	Lot 3		19.45	7.61	11.84	None	None	USFS
	Lot 4		18.24	5.96	12.28	None	None	USFS
	Lot 5		17.55	8.44	9.11	None	None	USFS
			73.39	32.04	41.35			
Section 29	NE NW		1.05	0.07	0.98	None	None	USFS
			1.05	0.07	0.98			
Section 30	Lot 4		27.57	1.45	26.12	None	None	USFS
	SE SE		10.97	2.60	8.37	None	None	USFS
			38.54	4.05	34.49			
Section 31	Lot 1		26.25	0.72	25.53	None	None	USFS
	Lot 2		23.00	13.30	9.70	None	None	USFS
	Lot 3		21.20	7.91	13.29	None	None	USFS
	Lot 4		2.50	2.13	0.37	None	None	USFS
	NE SW		7.50	3.12	4.38	None	None	USFS
			80.45	27.18	53.27			
T21N-R4W								
Section 36	Lot 1		14.51	4.66	9.85	None	None	USFS
	Lot 2		14.10	3.35	10.75	None	None	USFS
			28.61	8.01	20.60			
T20N-R4W								
Section 1	Lot 2		2.46	2.27	0.19	None	None	USFS
	Lot 3		19.24	8.48	10.76	None	None	USFS
	Lot 4		15.78	6.92	8.86	None	None	USFS
	Lot 5		14.00	7.18	6.82	None	None	USFS
			51.48	24.85	26.63			
Section 2	Lot 1		20.21	9.11	11.10	None	None	USFS
			20.21	9.11	11.10			
Section 11	Lot 1		20.14	8.45	11.69	None	None	USFS
	Lot 2		19.42	8.16	11.26	None	None	USFS
			39.56	16.61	22.95			
Section 14	Lot 1		18.18	6.42	11.76	None	None	USFS
	Lot 2		12.77	4.28	8.49	None	None	USFS

Table 1. (Cont.)

Description of Parcel			Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
HELLS CANYON PROJECT								
IDAHO								
Section 14	SE NE		2.50	1.68	0.82	None	None	USFS
	NW SE		1.91	0.16	1.75	None	None	USFS
			35.36	12.54	22.82			
Section 22	Lot 1		7.80	4.40	3.40	None	None	USFS
	Lot 2		15.65	3.50	12.15	None	None	USFS
			23.45	7.90	15.55			
Section 23	Lot 2		15.55	8.51	7.04	None	None	USFS
	NW SW		6.92	5.30	1.62	None	None	USFS
			22.47	13.81	8.66			
Section 27	NW NE		2.50	1.90	0.60	None	None	USFS
	NW SW		2.50	2.30	0.20	None	None	USFS
			5.00	4.20	0.80			
Section 28	Lot 1		10.00	5.08	4.92	None	None	USFS
	Lot 2		12.40	5.68	6.72	None	None	USFS
	Lot 3		10.98	6.77	4.21	None	None	USFS
			33.38	17.53	15.85			
Section 32	Lot 1		27.70	9.95	17.75	None	None	USFS
	Lot 2		15.88	5.58	10.30	None	None	USFS
			43.58	15.53	28.05			
Section 33	Lot 1		21.70	9.35	12.35	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		17.96	7.53	10.43	None	None	USFS
	NW NE		2.50	1.70	0.80	None	None	USFS
			42.16	18.58	23.58			
T19N-R4W								
Section 5	Lot 1		9.12	7.03	2.09	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		10.32	2.24	8.08	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3		20.46	8.75	11.71	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4		10.32	3.40	6.92	None	None	BLM
			50.22	21.42	28.80			
Section 8	Lot 2		11.69	4.11	7.58	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3		20.46	8.55	11.91	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4		17.80	7.35	10.45	None	None	BLM
			49.95	20.01	29.94			

Table 1. (Cont.)

Description of Parcel			Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
HELLS CANYON PROJECT								
IDAHO								
Section 17	Lot 1		20.72	9.09	11.63	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		19.90	8.81	11.09	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4		15.60	4.65	10.95	None	None	BLM
	Lot 5		22.50	8.91	13.59	None	None	BLM
			78.72	31.46	47.26			
Total acres proposed for removal in Idaho				408.39				
OREGON								
T6S-R48E								
Section 1	Lot 1		19.60	13.14	6.46	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		18.80	9.47	9.33	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3		8.54	3.77	4.77	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4		9.90	3.51	6.39	None	None	BLM
			56.84	29.89	26.95			
Section 11	Lot 1		16.06	14.31	1.75	None	None	BLM
	SW SW		2.50	2.36	0.14	None	None	BLM
			18.56	16.67	1.89			
Section 12	Lot 1		6.10	1.68	4.42	None	None	BLM
			6.10	1.68	4.42			
Section 14	Lot 1		14.71	8.51	6.20	None	None	BLM
			14.71	8.51	6.20			
Section 21	Lot 1		5.01	4.05	0.96	None	None	BLM
			5.01	4.05	0.96			
Section 22	Lot 1		11.05	8.39	2.66	None	None	BLM
			11.05	8.39	2.66			
Section 28	Lot 4		14.09	1.95	12.14	None	None	BLM
			14.09	1.95	12.14			
Total acres proposed for removal in Oregon				71.14				
Hells Canyon Project acres proposed for removal (Idaho land + Oregon land)				479.53				

Table 2. Oxbow Project—Acreage of Land Proposed for Removal by Parcel.

Description of Parcel		Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
OXBOW PROJECT							
IDAHO							
T19N-R4W							
Section 20							
	Lot 2	34.40	27.16	7.24	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3	15.90	3.76	12.14	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4	26.15	23.52	2.63	None	None	BLM
		76.45	54.44	22.01			
Section 21							
	Lot 1	25.46	22.45	3.01	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4	24.31	15.72	8.59	None	None	BLM
		49.77	38.17	11.60			
Section 29							
	Lot 1	39.86	23.61	16.25	None	None	BLM
		39.86	23.61	16.25			
T18N-R4W							
Section 4							
	Lot 2	13.48	8.91	4.57	None	None	BLM
		13.48	8.91	4.57			
Section 8							
	Lot 1	31.12	10.28	20.84	None	None	BLM
		31.12	10.28	20.84			
Section 9							
	Lot 1	19.01	11.51	7.50	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	11.95	6.82	5.13	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3	7.50	6.14	1.36	None	None	BLM
		38.46	24.47	13.99			
Section 17							
	Lot 1	24.24	10.31	13.93	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	32.26	27.32	4.94	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3	16.49	10.04	6.45	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4	16.56	7.11	9.45	None	None	BLM
		89.55	54.78	34.77			
Section 19							
	Lot 1	0.69	0.11	0.58	None	None	BLM
		0.69	0.11	0.58			
Section 20							
	Lot 1	16.70	12.18	4.52	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	14.63	7.83	6.80	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3	21.62	12.97	8.65	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4	13.75	9.47	4.28	None	None	BLM
		66.70	42.45	24.25			

Table 2. (Continued)

Description of Parcel			Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
OXBOW PROJECT								
IDAHO								
Section 30	Lot 1		13.94	4.05	9.89	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		21.17	15.94	5.23	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3		16.48	11.72	4.76	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4		21.16	11.99	9.17	None	None	BLM
			72.75	43.70	29.05			
Section 31	Lot 1		21.17	15.94	5.23	None	None	BLM
			21.17	15.94	5.23			
Total acres proposed for removal in Idaho				316.86				
OREGON								
T7S-R48E								
Section 21	Lot 1		16.48	6.67	9.81	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		15.99	5.40	10.59	None	None	BLM
	NE SE		2.50	2.39	0.11	None	None	BLM
			34.97	14.46	20.51			
Section 22	Lot 2		27.27	4.50	22.77	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3		10.83	1.56	9.27	None	None	BLM
	SW SW		7.50	3.73	3.77	None	None	BLM
			45.60	9.79	35.81			
Section 27	Lot 1		18.21	6.52	11.69	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		22.20	8.75	13.45	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3		18.89	8.18	10.71	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4		7.72	3.46	4.26	None	None	BLM
			67.02	26.91	40.11			
Section 28	NE SE		2.50	2.33	0.17	None	None	BLM
	SE SE		5.00	3.46	1.54	None	None	BLM
			7.50	5.79	1.71			
Section 33	Lot 3		20.62	1.32	19.30	None	None	BLM
			20.62	1.32	19.30			
T8S-R48E								
Section 4	Lot 1		25.93	9.90	16.03	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4		20.05	4.92	15.13	None	None	BLM
	Lot 5		17.51	5.70	11.81	None	None	BLM
	Lot 6		16.90	4.25	12.65	None	None	BLM
			80.39	24.77	55.62			

Table 2. (Continued)

Description of Parcel			Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
OXBOW PROJECT OREGON								
	Section 8	Lot 1	19.68	12.48	7.20	None	None	BLM
			19.68	12.48	7.20			
	Section 9	Lot 1	14.09	0.98	13.11	None	None	BLM
		Lot 2	24.12	2.26	21.86	None	None	BLM
		Lot 3	14.63	4.23	10.40	None	None	BLM
		Lot 4	2.53	1.73	0.80	None	None	BLM
			55.37	9.20	46.17			
	Section 17	Lot 1	20.14	10.30	9.84	None	None	BLM
			20.14	10.30	9.84			
	Section 19	Lot 1	17.97	9.21	8.76	None	None	BLM
		Lot 4	8.64	3.51	5.13	None	None	BLM
		Lot 5	9.91	2.72	7.19	None	None	BLM
			36.52	15.44	21.08			
	Section 20	Lot 1	3.46	0.63	2.83	None	None	BLM
			3.46	0.63	2.83			
Total acres proposed for removal in Oregon				131.09				
Oxbow Project acres proposed for removal (Idaho land + Oregon land)				447.95				

Table 3. Brownlee Project—Acreage of Land Proposed for Removal by Parcel.

Description of Parcel			Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
BROWNLEE PROJECT								
IDAHO								
T17N-R5W								
	Section 11	NW SE	30.00	18.65	11.35	None	None	BLM
		Lot 4	49.40	15.99	33.41	None	None	BLM
			79.40	34.64	44.76			
	Section 14	Lot 1	23.80	0.81	22.99	None	None	BLM
		Lot 2	40.11	9.07	31.04	None	None	BLM
		NE NW	25.00	15.01	9.99	None	None	BLM
			88.91	24.89	64.02			
	Section 23	SE SW	5.00	4.37	0.63	None	None	BLM
			5.00	4.37	0.63			
	Section 27	Lot 1	18.86	4.73	14.13	None	None	BLM
		Lot 2	43.25	27.42	15.83	None	None	BLM
		Lot 3	37.82	30.80	7.02	None	None	BLM
			99.93	62.95	36.98			
	Section 28	Lot 2	17.19	0.00	17.19	None	None	BLM
		Lot 3	23.20	2.15	21.05	None	None	BLM
		Lot 4	35.10	17.50	17.60	None	None	BLM
			75.49	19.65	55.84			
	Section 29	Lot 1	11.52	5.36	6.16	None	None	BLM
			11.52	5.36	6.16			
	Section 31	Lot 1	49.07	28.08	20.99	None	None	BLM
			49.07	28.08	20.99			
	Section 32	Lot 1	39.99	12.55	27.44	None	None	BLM
		Lot 2	41.70	7.07	34.63	None	None	BLM
		Lot 5	35.74	27.10	8.64	None	None	BLM
		NE SW	20.00	18.13	1.87	None	None	BLM
			137.43	64.85	72.58			
	Section 33	NW NW	27.50	11.69	15.81	None	None	BLM
		NE NW	17.50	5.62	11.88	None	None	BLM
			45.00	17.31	27.69			
T16N-R5W								
	Section 6	Lot 2	36.30	20.85	15.45	None	None	BLM
			36.30	20.85	15.45			
T16N-R6W								
	Section 1	Lot 2	24.20	4.56	19.64	None	None	BLM
		Lot 4	29.40	10.00	19.40	None	None	BLM

Table 3. (Continued)

Description of Parcel		Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
BROWNLEE PROJECT IDAHO							
	SE SW	20.00	13.35	6.65	None	None	BLM
		73.60	27.91	45.69			
Section 2	Lot 1	9.20	0.00	9.20	None	None	BLM
	SE SE	15.00	8.24	6.76	None	None	BLM
		24.20	8.24	15.96			
Section 10	Lot 3	21.10	11.07	10.03	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4	33.00	19.96	13.04	None	None	BLM
		54.10	31.03	23.07			
Section 15	Lot 5	31.08	7.06	24.02	None	None	BLM
		31.08	7.06	24.02			
Section 21	Lot 1	11.60	0.12	11.48	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	18.10	4.69	13.41	None	None	BLM
		29.70	4.81	24.89			
Section 22	Lot 1	22.50	15.49	7.01	None	None	BLM
	SW NW	15.00	8.78	6.22	None	None	BLM
	NW SW	17.50	10.38	7.12	None	None	BLM
		55.00	34.65	20.35			
Section 28	Lot 1	23.20	13.26	9.94	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	12.43	11.32	1.11	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3	19.00	13.09	5.91	None	None	BLM
	Lot 6	29.07	16.22	12.85	None	None	BLM
		83.70	53.89	29.81			
Section 33	Lot 1	10.68	2.41	8.27	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	18.77	1.22	17.55	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3	17.40	3.45	13.95	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4	24.60	11.58	13.02	None	None	BLM
	NE NW	12.50	9.41	3.09	None	None	BLM
	SE NW	32.50	19.23	13.27	None	None	BLM
	SW NE	10.00	8.95	1.05	None	None	BLM
	NE SW	5.00	4.68	0.32	None	None	BLM
		131.45	60.93	70.52			
T15N-R6W							
Section 4	Lot 4	17.50	9.06	8.44	None	None	BLM
		17.50	9.06	8.44			

Table 3. (Continued)

Description of Parcel			Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
BROWNLEE PROJECT IDAHO								
Section 5	Lot 1		41.47	5.19	36.28	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		49.05	17.25	31.80	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3		30.95	10.39	20.56	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4		16.60	5.26	11.34	None	None	BLM
	SE NE		10.00	7.23	2.77	None	None	BLM
	NW SE		5.00	3.60	1.40	None	None	BLM
			153.07	48.92	104.15			
Section 8	Lot 1		16.70	0.81	15.89	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		30.50	14.94	15.56	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3		18.70	11.36	7.34	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4		14.00	0.08	13.92	None	None	BLM
	SW NE		10.00	7.59	2.41	None	None	BLM
	NW SE		5.00	4.95	0.05	None	None	BLM
	SE SW		10.00	9.11	0.89	None	None	BLM
			104.90	48.84	56.06			
Section 17	Lot 1		16.60	2.33	14.27	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		30.00	19.90	10.10	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3		24.70	19.29	5.41	None	None	BLM
	NE NW		5.00	4.49	0.51	None	None	BLM
	SW SW		5.00	5.00	0.00	None	None	BLM
			81.30	51.01	30.29			
Section 18	Lot 1		8.20	1.63	6.57	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		21.32	8.58	12.74	None	None	BLM
			29.52	10.21	19.31			
Section 19	Lot 1		22.80	9.48	13.32	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		29.82	16.74	13.08	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3		35.20	14.86	20.34	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4		35.99	19.41	16.58	None	None	BLM
			123.81	60.49	63.32			
Section 30	Lot 1		28.46	5.13	23.33	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		31.41	13.09	18.32	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3		24.40	12.12	12.28	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4		15.00	11.89	3.11	None	None	BLM
			99.27	42.23	57.04			
Section 31	Lot 1		12.50	9.13	3.37	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		10.00	9.75	0.25	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4		12.50	11.78	0.72	None	None	BLM

Table 3. (Continued)

Description of Parcel			Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
BROWNLEE PROJECT								
IDAHO								
			35.00	30.66	4.34			
T15N-R7W								
Section 25	Lot 1		6.80	1.08	5.72	None	None	BLM
			6.80	1.08	5.72			
T14N-R6W								
Section 6	Lot 4		22.50	13.19	9.31	None	None	BLM
	Lot 5		10.00	8.87	1.13	None	None	BLM
			32.50	22.06	10.44			
T14N-R7W								
Section 13	SW SW		12.50	6.00	6.50	None	None	BLM
			12.50	6.00	6.50			
Section 14	Lot 1		2.19	1.94	0.25	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3		18.89	3.62	15.27	None	None	BLM
			21.08	5.56	15.52			
Section 23	Lot 1		30.99	6.56	24.43	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		28.63	17.89	10.74	None	None	BLM
	SW SE		2.50	2.50	0.00	None	None	BLM
	NE SE		2.50	2.41	0.09	None	None	BLM
	SE SE		2.50	2.50	0.00	None	None	BLM
			67.12	31.86	35.26			
Section 24	NW NW		2.50	2.32	0.18	None	None	BLM
			2.50	2.32	0.18			
Section 26	Lot 2		24.07	14.87	9.20	None	None	BLM
	NE NE		2.50	2.50	0.00	None	None	BLM
			26.57	17.37	9.20			
Section 27	Lot 1		17.40	10.69	6.71	None	None	BLM
	NE SE		7.50	6.53	0.97	None	None	BLM
	SW SW		10.00	9.03	0.97	None	None	BLM
			34.90	26.25	8.65			
Section 28	Lot 2		32.02	15.52	16.50	None	None	BLM
			32.02	15.52	16.50			
Section 33	Lot 1		23.27	11.62	11.65	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		27.53	14.40	13.13	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3		21.75	11.42	10.33	None	None	BLM

Table 3. (Continued)

Description of Parcel		Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
BROWNLEE PROJECT							
IDAHO							
	Lot 4	31.43	24.09	7.34	None	None	BLM
		103.98	61.53	42.45			
T13N-R7W							
Section 4	Lot 4	21.42	12.96	8.46	None	None	BLM
	SW NW	7.50	6.45	1.05	None	None	BLM
		28.92	19.41	9.51			
Section 5	Lot 1	17.18	6.22	10.96	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	28.95	17.90	11.05	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3	33.90	28.68	5.22	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4	2.76	0.00	2.76	None	None	BLM
		82.79	52.80	29.99			
Section 7	Lot 1	43.44	11.50	31.94	None	None	BLM
		43.44	11.50	31.94			
Section 8	Lot 1	9.79	6.04	3.75	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	21.54	8.15	13.39	None	None	BLM
	NW NE	2.50	2.50	0.00	None	None	BLM
		33.83	16.69	17.14			
Section 17	Lot 1	12.52	8.12	4.40	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	16.13	6.03	10.10	None	None	BLM
	SW NW	25.00	9.12	15.88	None	None	BLM
	SE NW	20.00	12.98	7.02	None	None	BLM
	SW NE	17.50	10.37	7.13	None	None	BLM
		91.15	46.62	44.53			
Section 20	Lot 1	19.18	6.70	12.48	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	16.30	7.77	8.53	None	None	BLM
		35.48	14.47	21.01			
Section 29	Lot 3	23.21	16.62	6.59	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4	27.72	9.06	18.66	None	None	BLM
		50.93	25.68	25.25			
Section 32	NW SW	2.50	1.84	0.66	None	None	BLM
		2.50	1.84	0.66			
T12N-R7W							
Section 6	Lot 2	22.12	8.15	13.97	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3	33.18	14.45	18.73	None	None	BLM

Table 3. (Continued)

Description of Parcel			Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
BROWNLEE PROJECT								
IDAHO								
	Lot 4		15.00	4.30	10.70	None	None	BLM
	Lot 5		25.00	10.84	14.16	None	None	BLM
	Lot 6		12.50	10.34	2.16	None	None	BLM
	SE SW		21.43	10.56	10.87	None	None	BLM
			129.23	58.64	70.59			
	Section 7	NW NW	2.50	2.18	0.32	None	None	BLM
			2.50	2.18	0.32			
	Section 18	Lot 1	13.59	9.14	4.45	None	None	BLM
		Lot 4	22.25	12.65	9.60	None	None	BLM
		SW SE	2.50	2.28	0.22	None	None	BLM
			38.34	24.07	14.27			
	Section 28	Lot 1	9.22	6.80	2.42	None	None	BLM
			9.22	6.80	2.42			
	Section 33	Lot 3	28.94	13.69	15.25	None	None	BLM
		Lot 4	23.43	15.91	7.52	None	None	BLM
			52.37	29.60	22.77			
T11N R7W	Section 4	Lot 4	17.50	16.87	0.63	None	None	BLM
			17.50	16.87	0.63			
	Section 8	Lot 2	17.86	14.10	3.76	None	None	BLM
		Lot 3	55.56	29.12	26.44	None	None	BLM
		Lot 5	30.76	25.03	5.73	None	None	BLM
		Lot 6	30.42	30.23	0.19	None	None	BLM
			134.60	98.48	36.12			
	Section 17	NW NW	19.82	5.30	14.52	None	None	BLM
			19.82	5.30	14.52			
	Section 21	Lot 3	1.84	1.15	0.69	None	None	BLM
			1.84	1.15	0.69			
	Section 24	Lot 1	9.56	8.29	1.27	None	None	BLM
		Lot 2	11.06	9.16	1.90	None	None	BLM
		Lot 3	7.21	6.06	1.15	None	None	BLM
		Lot 4	17.73	16.27	1.46	None	None	BLM
		Lot 5	19.12	17.58	1.54	None	None	BLM
			64.68	57.36	7.32			

Table 3. (Continued)

Description of Parcel			Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
BROWNLEE PROJECT								
IDAHO								
	Section 25	Lot 1	3.57	2.71	0.86	None	None	BLM
			3.57	2.71	0.86			
	Section 28	Lot 2	5.48	2.41	3.07	None	None	BLM
			5.48	2.41	3.07			
T11N-R6W								
	Section 18	Lot 4	2.50	2.36	0.14	None	None	BLM
			2.50	2.36	0.14			
	Section 19	Lot 3	3.18	0.68	2.50	None	None	BLM
			Lot 4	5.67	3.87	1.80	None	None
8.85				4.55	4.30			
Total acres proposed for removal in Idaho				1503.93				
\								
OREGON								
T8S-R47E								
	Section 25	Lot 15	35.94	18.46	17.48	None	None	BLM
			35.94	18.46	17.48			
	Section 35	SE SE	2.50	1.74	0.76	None	None	BLM
			2.50	1.74	0.76			
T9S-R47E								
	Section 2	Lot 1	39.98	25.76	14.22	None	None	BLM
		Lot 2	26.72	4.24	22.48	None	None	BLM
		Lot 3	32.30	6.54	25.76	None	None	BLM
		Lot 4	32.13	3.99	28.14	None	None	BLM
		NE SW	30.00	18.55	11.45	None	None	BLM
		SW NE	10.00	7.51	2.49	None	None	BLM
		SW SW	5.00	3.30	1.70	None	None	BLM
			176.13	69.89	106.24			
	Section 10	NE NE	10.00	10.00	0.00	None	None	BLM
			10.00	10.00	0.00			
	Section 11	Lot 1	57.94	21.94	36.00	None	None	BLM
		Lot 2	45.87	22.82	23.05	None	None	BLM
		Lot 3	46.29	25.37	20.92	None	None	BLM
		SW SW	15.00	7.12	7.88	None	None	BLM
			165.10	77.25	87.85			

Table 3. (Continued)

Description of Parcel			Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
BROWNLEE PROJECT OREGON								
Section 15	Lot 2		47.96	17.22	30.74	None	None	BLM
	NE NE		12.50	12.35	0.15	None	None	BLM
			60.46	29.57	30.89			
Section 16	Lot 1		36.35	20.85	15.50	None	None	BLM
	SW SE		40.00	14.20	25.80	None	None	BLM
			76.35	35.05	41.30			
Section 20	SE NE		12.50	8.79	3.71	None	None	BLM
	NW SE		20.00	12.65	7.35	None	None	BLM
	NE SW		2.50	2.37	0.13	None	None	BLM
	SE SW		15.00	9.44	5.56	None	None	BLM
	SW SW		5.00	4.88	0.12	None	None	BLM
			55.00	38.13	16.87			
Section 21	Lot 3		26.82	12.75	14.07	None	None	BLM
	NW NW		12.50	8.63	3.87	None	None	BLM
			39.32	21.38	17.94			
Section 30	Lot 4		20.00	18.61	1.39	None	None	BLM
	Lot 5		36.03	6.42	29.61	None	None	BLM
			56.03	25.03	31.00			
T9S-R46E								
Section 21	NW NW		5.00	4.87	0.13	None	None	BLM
	NE NW		35.00	17.84	17.16	None	None	BLM
	SE NW		32.50	12.95	19.55	None	None	BLM
	NE SW		10.00	7.38	2.62	None	None	BLM
	NW NE		30.00	8.99	21.01	None	None	BLM
	SE NE		2.50	1.13	1.37	None	None	BLM
			115.00	53.16	61.84			
Section 22	SW NW		22.50	8.72	13.78	None	None	BLM
			22.50	8.72	13.78			
Section 23	SW NW		20.00	11.14	8.86	None	None	BLM
	NW SW		37.50	21.54	15.96	None	None	BLM
	NE SW		12.50	7.42	5.08	None	None	BLM
			70.00	40.10	29.90			

Table 3. (Continued)

Description of Parcel			Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
BROWNLEE PROJECT OREGON								
Section 25	SE NE		30.00	14.78	15.22	None	None	BLM
			30.00	14.78	15.22			
Section 26	SE SE		22.50	10.72	11.78	None	None	BLM
	SW SE		35.00	11.40	23.60	None	None	BLM
			57.50	22.12	35.38			
Section 35	Lot 1		17.15	4.15	13.00	None	None	BLM
			17.15	4.15	13.00			
T10S-R46E								
Section 2	Lot 2		27.66	11.53	16.13	None	None	BLM
			27.66	11.53	16.13			
Section 3	Lot 1		18.87	13.84	5.03	None	None	BLM
	Lot 5		33.94	22.86	11.08	None	None	BLM
	Lot 6		35.60	23.17	12.43	None	None	BLM
	Lot 7		31.31	11.99	19.32	None	None	BLM
			119.72	71.86	47.86			
Section 10	Lot 1		33.26	8.30	24.96	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		25.81	1.68	24.13	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3		32.26	0.45	31.81	None	None	BLM
	SW NE		5.00	3.62	1.38	None	None	BLM
	NW SE		10.00	7.41	2.59	None	None	BLM
	SW SE		32.50	11.83	20.67	None	None	BLM
			138.83	33.29	105.54			
Section 15	Lot 1		16.94	1.52	15.42	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		20.00	7.77	12.23	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3		21.90	0.16	21.74	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4		36.38	0.32	36.06	None	None	BLM
	Lot 5		17.86	6.08	11.78	None	None	BLM
	SW NW		12.50	4.24	8.26	None	None	BLM
		125.58	20.09	105.49				
Section 21	Lot 1		16.92	7.63	9.29	None	None	BLM
	NE NE		32.50	9.94	22.56	None	None	BLM
	SE NE		17.50	8.25	9.25	None	None	BLM
	NE SE		10.00	7.81	2.19	None	None	BLM
			76.92	33.63	43.29			

Table 3. (Continued)

Description of Parcel			Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
BROWNLEE PROJECT								
OREGON								
Section 22	Lot 1		6.10	2.35	3.75	None	None	BLM
Corrected to match Master Title Plat	Lot 2		5.99	-2.41	8.40	None	None	BLM
Corrected to match Master Title Plat	Lot 3		13.60	6.88	6.72	None	None	BLM
			25.69	6.82	18.87			
Section 28	Lot 1		14.43	1.72	12.71	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2		26.48	11.46	15.02	None	None	BLM
Corrected to match Master Title Plat	Lot 3		1.50	-2.96	4.46	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4		25.50	11.70	13.80	None	None	BLM
Corrected to match Master Title Plat	Lot 5		1.61	-4.00	5.61	None	None	BLM
	Lot 6		36.75	17.99	18.76	None	None	BLM
	Lot 7		31.69	6.84	24.85	None	None	BLM
	NE NW		2.50	2.09	0.41	None	None	BLM
	SW NW		10.00	8.37	1.63	None	None	BLM
			150.46	53.21	97.25			
Section 29 SE SE			10.00	9.32	0.68	None	None	BLM
			10.00	9.32	0.68			
Section 32 NE NE			10.00	9.02	0.98	None	None	BLM
	SE NE		10.00	7.40	2.60	None	None	BLM
	NE SE		7.50	4.90	2.60	None	None	BLM
	SE SE		10.00	0.32	9.68	None	None	BLM
			37.50	21.64	15.86			
Corrected to match Master Title Plat	Section 33 Lot 1		29.81	2.12	27.69	Inundated	Inundated	BLM
Corrected to match Master Title Plat	Lot 2		23.64	-2.40	26.04	Inundated	Inundated	BLM
			53.45	-0.28	53.73			
T11S-R46E								
Corrected to match Master Title Plat	Section 4 Lot 1		59.15	18.01	41.14	Inundated	Inundated	BLM
Corrected to match Master Title Plat	Lot 2		51.84	19.55	32.29	Inundated	Inundated	BLM
			110.99	37.56	73.43			
Section 5 Lot 1			5.00	4.95	0.05	None Primitive Recreation improvements owned by BLM	None	BLM
	SE NE		20.00	11.00	9.00		None	BLM
			25.00	15.95	9.05			

Table 3. (Continued)

Description of Parcel		Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
BROWNLEE PROJECT OREGON							
	Section 8 NE NW	7.50	5.36	2.14	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3	35.38	15.73	19.65	None	None	BLM
		42.88	21.09	21.79			
Corrected to match Master Title Plat	Section 17 Lot 3	10.00	-3.97	13.97	Inundated	Inundated	BLM
	Lot 4	7.83	4.25	3.58	None	None	BLM
		17.83	0.28	17.55			
	Section 18 NE SE	15.00	9.46	5.54	None	None	BLM
	SE SE	17.50	8.46	9.04	None	None	BLM
		32.50	17.92	14.58			
	Section 20 Lot 1	23.06	4.60	18.46	None	None	BLM
		23.06	4.60	18.46			
Corrected to match Master Title Plat	Section 30 Lot 7	0.00	-8.21	8.21	Inundated	Inundated	BLM
		0.00	-8.21	8.21			
T11S-R45E							
	Section 36 Lot 3	21.89	6.87	15.02	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4	25.83	17.16	8.67	None	None	BLM
		47.72	24.03	23.69			
T12S-R45E							
	Section 1 Lot 1	17.74	8.49	9.25	None	None	BLM
	Lot 6	32.15	14.85	17.30	None	None	BLM
		49.89	23.34	26.55			
	Section 11 SE SE	9.45	6.65	2.80	None	None	BLM
		9.45	6.65	2.80			
	Section 12 NW SW	10.00	4.18	5.82	None	None	BLM
		10.00	4.18	5.82			
	Section 14 NW NE	12.50	3.88	8.62	None	None	BLM
	NE NW	10.00	7.56	2.44	None	None	BLM
		22.50	11.44	11.06			
	Section 33 Lot 1	8.47	2.79	5.68	None	None	BLM
		8.47	2.79	5.68			

Table 3. (Continued)

Description of Parcel		Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
BROWNLEE PROJECT							
OREGON							
T13S-R45E							
Section 9	Lot 1	15.22	3.03	12.19	None	None	BLM
	NW NW	5.00	4.11	0.89	None	None	BLM
		20.22	7.14	13.08			
Section 21	Lot 1	17.95	10.56	7.39	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	19.53	9.38	10.15	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3	14.75	7.54	7.21	None	None	BLM
		52.23	27.48	24.75			
Section 29	Lot 4	32.25	20.13	12.12	None	None	BLM
		32.25	20.13	12.12			
Section 32	Lot 1	34.22	16.28	17.94	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	12.50	8.45	4.05	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3	20.85	14.31	6.54	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4	16.24	9.22	7.02	None	None	BLM
	Lot 5	19.82	14.84	4.98	None	None	BLM
		103.63	63.10	40.53			
T14S-R45E							
Section 5	Lot 1	14.29	9.44	4.85	None	None	BLM
		14.29	9.44	4.85			
Section 7	SE SE	2.50	2.33	0.17	None	None	BLM
		2.50	2.33	0.17			
Section 8	Lot 1	21.66	14.00	7.66	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	32.26	22.29	9.97	None	None	BLM
	NE NW	2.50	2.50	0.00	None	None	BLM
	SE SW	22.50	15.63	6.87	None	None	BLM
	SW SW	17.50	12.10	5.40	None	None	BLM
		96.42	66.52	29.90			
Section 9	Lot 2	17.94	13.40	4.54	None	None	BLM
		17.94	13.40	4.54			
Section 15	Lot 1	23.32	17.56	5.76	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	19.71	13.45	6.26	None	None	BLM
		43.03	31.01	12.02			



Table 3. (Continued)

Description of Parcel		Original Federally Owned Acres	Acres Proposed for Removal	Remaining Federally Owned Acres	Existing Improvements	Land Use By IPC	Ownership
BROWNLEE PROJECT OREGON							
Section 16	Lot 1	22.42	18.44	3.98	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	29.93	18.43	11.50	None	None	BLM
		52.35	36.87	15.48			
Section 17	NW NW	5.00	3.74	1.26	None	None	BLM
		5.00	3.74	1.26			
Section 22	Lot 1	2.50	2.50	0.00	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	13.25	9.65	3.60	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3	22.75	19.18	3.57	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4	16.13	13.64	2.49	None	None	BLM
	Lot 5	9.18	6.80	2.38	None	None	BLM
		63.81	51.77	12.04			
Section 27	Lot 1	15.44	8.31	7.13	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	10.13	9.17	0.96	None	None	BLM
		25.57	17.48	8.09			
T15S-R45E							
Section 10	Lot 2	13.02	8.38	4.64	None	None	BLM
		13.02	8.38	4.64			
Section 15	Lot 1	4.72	3.95	0.77	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	11.72	7.93	3.79	None	None	BLM
		16.44	11.88	4.56			
Section 24	Lot 2	10.25	7.50	2.75	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3	7.26	6.20	1.06	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4	4.61	4.35	0.26	None	None	BLM
	Lot 5	12.32	10.87	1.45	None	None	BLM
		34.44	28.92	5.52			
T15S-R46E							
Section 18	Lot 1	3.46	3.42	0.04	None	None	BLM
	Lot 2	18.78	17.72	1.06	None	None	BLM
	Lot 3	11.98	10.47	1.51	None	None	BLM
		34.22	31.61	2.61			
Section 19	Lot 1	9.79	8.60	1.19	None	None	BLM
	Lot 4	11.75	9.53	2.22	None	None	BLM
		21.54	18.13	3.41			
Total acres proposed for removal in Oregon			1341.59				
Brownlee Project acres proposed for removal (Idaho land + Oregon land)			2845.52				

Table 4. Total Acres Proposed for Removal.

Description of Parcel	Acres of BLM Proposed for Removal	Acres of USFS Proposed for Removal
HELLS CANYON PROJECT		
Total acres proposed for removal in Idaho	82.24	326.15
Total acres proposed for removal in Oregon	71.14	
Hells Canyon acres proposed for removal (Idaho land + Oregon land)	479.53	
OXBOW PROJECT		
Total acres proposed for removal in Idaho	316.86	
Total acres proposed for removal in Oregon	131.09	
Oxbow acres proposed for removal (Idaho land + Oregon land)	447.95	
BROWNLEE PROJECT		
Total acres proposed for removal in Idaho	1503.93	
Total acres proposed for removal in Oregon	1341.59	
Brownlee acres proposed for removal (Idaho land + Oregon land)	2845.52	
Total acres proposed for removal	3773.00	

This page left blank intentionally.





Hells Canyon Additional Information Request
LU-1 Project Boundary Change

Panel 1 of 8

- Legend
- Project Boundary - Original
 - Project Boundary - Modified
 - Removed Project Lands
 - Section Line
 - BLM








Panel 2 of 8

Hells Canyon Additional Information Request LU-1 Project Boundary Change

- Legend
- Project Boundary - Original
 - Project Boundary - Modified
 - Removed Project Lands
 - Section Line
 - BLM







Panel 3 of 8

Hells Canyon Additional Information Request LU-1 Project Boundary Change

- Legend
- | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| Project Boundary - Original | Removed Project Lands | BLM |
| Project Boundary - Modified | Section Line | |







Panel 4 of 8

Hells Canyon Additional Information Request
LU-1 Project Boundary Change

- Legend
- Project Boundary - Original
 - Project Boundary - Modified
 - Removed Project Lands
 - Section Line
 - BLM





Panel 5 of 8

Hells Canyon Additional Information Request
LU-1 Project Boundary Change

Project Boundary - Original

Project Boundary - Modified

Removed Project Lands

Section Line

BLM

N


0

0.5

Miles

IDAHO POWER



An IDACORP Company




Hells Canyon Additional Information Request
LU-1 Project Boundary Change

Panel 6 of 8

Legend

- Legend**
- | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-----|
| | Project Boundary - Original | | Removed Project Lands | | BLM |
|  | Project Boundary - Modified |  | Section Line | | |







Panel 7 of 8

Hells Canyon Additional Information Request LU-1 Project Boundary Change

- Legend
- Project Boundary - Original
 - Project Boundary - Modified
 - Removed Project Lands
 - BLM
 - Section Line





Panel 8 of 8

Hells Canyon Additional Information Request
LU-1 Project Boundary Change

- Legend
- | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| Project Boundary - Original | Removed Project Lands | BLM |
| Project Boundary - Modified | Section Line | |

