## GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY REPORT

for integration of the proposed

# 40 MW XXXXXXPROJECT (GI PROJECT #557)

to the

## IDAHO POWER COMPANY ELECTRICAL SYSTEM

in

**ELMORE COUNTY, IDAHO** 

for

XXXXXX

**REPORT v.1** 

June 02, 2020

## OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

## **Table of Contents**

1.0	Introduction						
2.0	Summary						
3.0	•						
4.0							
5.0	Description of Proposed Generating Project						
6.0	Energy Resource (ER) Interconnection Service						
	6.1	Transmission Line Facilities	6				
	6.2	Substation Facilities	6				
	6.3	Grounding Requirements	6				
	6.4	System Protection Assessment	6				
	6.5	Electric System Protection Results	6				
	6.6	Energy Resource Cost Estimate	6				
7.0	Net	7					
	<b>7.1</b>	Description of Power Flow Cases	7				
	7.3	Network Resource Transmission Upgrades	8				
	<b>7.4</b>	Network Resource Cost Estimate	8				
8.0	Tra	ansient Stability Analysis	9				
9.0	Des	scription of Operating Requirements	9				
10.0	Co	nclusion	10				
API	PEND	IX A	11				
A-1.	.0 Me	ethod of Study	11				
A-2.	.0 Ac	ceptability Criteria	11				
Δ_3	0 Fle	ectrical System Protection Guidance	12				

## OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

#### 1.0 Introduction

XXXXXX has contracted with Idaho Power Company (IPCO) to perform a Generator Interconnection System Impact Study (SIS) for the integration of the proposed 40 MW BLACK MESA ENERGY XXXXXX solar project (Project) located in the IPCO Capital Region in Elmore County, Idaho (~ coordinates XXXXXX° N, -XXXXXXX° W). The Project has been assigned an IPCO Generation Interconnect (GI) queue number 557 (GI #557). In the SIS Agreement, the Project has chosen to have studies performed for both Energy Resource (ER) Interconnection Service and Network Resource (NR) Interconnection Service.

The specific Point Of Interconnection (POI) studied is 138 kV bus at XXXXXX substation.

This report documents the basis for and the results of the SIS for the GI #557 Generation Interconnection Customer. The report describes the proposed project, the determination of interconnection requirements and estimated costs for integration in to the IPCO transmission system. This report satisfies the SIS requirements of the Idaho Power Tariff.

## OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

### 2.0 Summary

The impact to the IPCO transmission system of interconnecting the 40 MW Project to XXXXXX 138 kV bus was evaluated.

The following is for information purposes only and does not convey Transmission Service.

The project will be required to control voltage in accordance with a voltage schedule as provided by IPCO Grid Operations. GI #557 will be required to manage the real power output of their generation project at the POI.

The total ER Interconnection Service preliminary cost estimate to interconnect the project is:

• Energy Resource Interconnection - \$660,000

See Section 6.6, Energy Resource Cost Estimate, for the required ER facilities and cost breakdowns.

The total NR Interconnection Service preliminary cost estimate to interconnect the Project is:

• Network Resource Interconnection Service - \$4,098,600

See Section 7.4, Network Resource Cost Estimate, for the required NR facilities and cost breakdowns.

The estimate includes 20% contingency and 10% overhead costs. These are cost estimates only and final charges to the customer will be based on the actual construction costs incurred. It should be noted that the preliminary cost estimates do not include the cost of the customer's owned equipment.

IPCO estimates it will require approximately 36 months to design, procure, and construct the facilities described in this report following the execution of a Generation Interconnection Agreement. A more specific schedule will be developed and optimized during the Facility Study should the generation interconnection customer choose to move to that study phase of the interconnection process.

## 3.0 Scope of Interconnection System Impact Study

This SIS was performed in accordance with IPCO Standard Generator Interconnection Procedures, evaluating the impact of interconnecting the Project to the transmission system. The scope of the SIS is detailed in the System Impact Study Agreement.

## OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

## 4.0 Contingent Facilities

GI #557 ER service at the POI is not contingent upon upgrades associated with any senior queued project.

Changes to senior queued projects, including in-service date and withdrawal from the queue, may trigger a restudy associated with GI #557 NR service. Idaho Power studied GI #557 with all Network Upgrades identified in senior queued projects modeled as in service (potential Contingent Facilities).

## 5.0 Description of Proposed Generating Project

XXXXXX ENERGY, GI #557, proposes to interconnect to the IPCO transmission system at 138 kV with a total injection of 40 MW (maximum project output). The POI is assumed to be the 138 kV bus at XXXXXX substation which is part of the XXXXXXX138 kV line.

**Table 1: Project Specifications** 

Project Location	XXXXXX,-XXXXXX
Number and Type of Generators	
Solar:	SUNGROW SG3150U-MV
	Quantity = 14
Battery:	TESLA MEGAPACK & TRANSFORMER
	Megapack inverter:
	1,425/1,600 kVA
	Quantity = 24
Individual Generator Nameplate	2,850/3,150 KW/KVA
Rating	
<b>Total Output Power Rating</b>	44,100 kWac
New Step-Up Transformer	24/32/44 MVA
Transformer	ONAN/ONAF/ONAF @ 65°C
	138Y / 34.5 - 13.2Delta kV
	Z=12% @ BASE MVA
Interconnection Voltage	138 kV

## 6.0 Energy Resource (ER) Interconnection Service

ER Interconnection Service allows the Interconnection Customer to connect its Generating Facility to a Transmission Provider's transmission system and to be eligible to deliver electric

## OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

output using firm or non-firm transmission capacity on an as available basis.

Power flow analysis indicated that the Project's full output of 40 MW can be interconnected at the POI.

#### **6.1** Transmission Line Facilities

As an ER, a Transmission Service Request will be required to determine the specific Network Upgrades required to deliver the project output to a designated point of delivery. At this time, no network upgrades have been identified for the Project as an ER interconnection.

#### **6.2** Substation Facilities

The proposed interconnection will require a single breaker line terminal interconnection at XXXXXX substation.

The actual station layouts and detailed equipment requirements will be determined in the Facility Study should the interconnection customer choose to move to that study phase of the interconnection process.

## 6.3 Grounding Requirements

The proposed 138/34.5 kV Wye-Grounded/Wye-Grounded with Delta Tertiary station transformer specified in the Idaho Large Generator Interconnection Request for XXXXXX ENERGY, GI #557, should provide an adequate ground source for transmission line protection/relaying.

Grounding requirements and acceptability criteria are found in Appendix A.

## 6.4 System Protection Assessment

Studies indicate that there is adequate load and short circuit interrupting capability on the Transmission Provider's existing breakers.

## **6.5** Electric System Protection Results

For 138kV line protection, the Transmission Provider's System Protection Department utilizes permissive and line differential protection schemes integrated with our existing digital communication infrastructure. Digital communication infrastructure for the interconnection customer's 138kV line will be the customer's responsibility.

## 6.6 Energy Resource Cost Estimate

## OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

In Table 2 below a summary is provided of the generation interconnection facilities and conceptual costs required to interconnect the GI #557 solar project to the Transmission Provider's transmission system as an Energy Resource.

**Table 2: Project ER Interconnection Cost Estimate** 

Item of Work	Estimate
New 138 kV line terminal at XXXXXX Substation	\$500,000
Air break switches, metering, relaying, etc	
Subtotal	\$500,000
Contingency 20% (1)	\$100,000
Subtotal	\$600,000
Overheads (2)	\$60,000
Total loaded costs (3)	\$660,000

- (1) Contingency is added to cover the unforeseen costs in the estimate. These costs can include unidentified design components, material cost increases, labor estimate shortfalls, etc.
- (2) Overhead costs cover the indirect costs associated with the Project.
- (3) This cost estimate includes direct equipment, material, labor, overheads, and contingency as shown.

The cost estimates include direct equipment and installation labor costs, indirect labor costs and general overheads, and a contingency allowance. These are cost estimates only and final charges to the customer will be based on the actual construction costs incurred. It should be noted that the preliminary cost estimates do not include the cost of the customer's owned equipment.

## 7.0 Network Resource (NR) Interconnection Service

NR Interconnection Service allows the Interconnection Customer to integrate its Generating Facility with the Transmission Provider's Transmission System in a manner comparable to that in which the Transmission Provider integrated its generating facilities to serve native load customers. The transmission system is studied under a variety of conditions to determine the transmission improvements/upgrades which are necessary. NR Interconnection Service in and of itself does not convey Transmission Service.

## 7.1 Description of Power Flow Cases

For the NR Interconnection Service study, two power flow cases were used to study the Transmission Provider's transmission system with westbound and eastbound transmission flows to determine the required Network Transmission Upgrades.

The WECC 2020 Heavy Summer case was chosen as a power flow base case for the study. The case was modified to represent a summer month with high west to east (eastbound) transfers across the Midpoint West path.

## OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

For the second case, a WECC 2020 Light Winter operating case, was modified to represent a shoulder month condition with high east to west (westbound) transfers across the Midpoint West path.

## 7.3 Network Resource Transmission Upgrades

From the power flow/contingency analysis, the following Network Transmission upgrades were identified for the integration of GI #557 in addition to the Energy Resource generation interconnection facilities.

## XXXXXX 138kV line

 Rebuild approximately 6 miles of 138kV line with 795 MCM ACSR "Tern" Conductor.

#### XXXXXX138kV Line

 Rebuild approximately 1.8 miles of 138kV line with 795 MCM ACSR "Tern" Conductor.

#### 7.4 Network Resource Cost Estimate

For NR Interconnection Service, all cost attributed to the ER Interconnection Service plus those additional transmission upgrades listed above will be required. Table 3 is a summary of the conceptual costs required to interconnect the GI #557 project to the Transmission Provider's transmission system as a NR.

**Table 3: Project NR Interconnection Cost Estimate** 

Item of Work	Estimate
XXXXXX138 kV Rebuild	\$1,980,000
Includes Air break switches at XXXXXX	
XXXXXX138 kV Rebuild	\$625,000
Includes Air Break switches at XXXXXX	
Subtotal	\$2,605,000
Contingency 20% (1)	\$521,000
Subtotal	\$3,126,000
Overheads (2)	\$312,600
Network Transmission Cost Estimate	\$3,438,600
Energy Resource Cost Estimate	\$660,000
<b>Total Network Resource Cost estimate (3)</b>	\$4,098,600

<sup>(1)</sup> Contingency is added to cover the unforeseen costs in the estimate. These costs can include unidentified design components, material cost increases, labor estimate shortfalls, etc.

## OFFICIAL USE ONLY

## DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

- (2) Overhead costs cover the indirect costs associated with the Project.
- (3) This cost estimate includes direct equipment, material, labor, overheads, and contingency as shown.

## 8.0 Transient Stability Analysis

The WECC 2020 Light Spring operating case modified to increase WECC Path 14 to its limit and PowerWorld Simulator version 21 analysis tool were used to perform the transient stability analysis.

When studied the plant controllers were set to control to the 138 kV POI. The results showed no transient stability violations. The developer should validate their dynamic modeling data. It is the responsibility of the Generator Owner to ensure the modeling data utilized accurately reflects inverter operations, and to provide updates to IPCO if testing or real-time observations indicate a need.

## 9.0 Description of Operating Requirements

It is the Project's responsibility to provide the reactive power capability to provide at a minimum a power factor operating range of 0.95 leading (supplying) to 0.95 lagging (absorbing) at the POI over the range of real power output. At full output of 40 MW, the Project would need to be able to provide approximately +/- 13.2 MVAr reactive support at the POI. Based on the information provided, the Project's own facilities will require approximately 5 MVAr of reactive support. If the installed inverters cannot supply the sum of these needs (+18.2/-8.2 MVAr), the Project will be required to install additional shunt reactive. Based on the data sheet downloaded for inverter model shown on the provided single line drawing, it appears the specified inverters can satisfy the reactive requirements for the project.

GI #557 will be required to control voltage in accordance with a voltage schedule as provided by IPCO Grid Operations.

The Project is required to comply with the applicable Voltage and Current Distortion Limits found in IEEE Standard 519-1992 *IEEE Recommended Practices and Requirements for Harmonic Control in Electrical Power Systems*.

Installation of PMU devices at the POI and maintenance costs associated with communication circuits needed to stream PMU data will also be required to be provided to interconnect GI #557. The specific costs associated with IPCO requirements for interconnection customers with aggregate facilities larger than 20 MW to provide PMU data to IPCO will be identified in the Facility Study should the generation interconnection customer choose to proceed to that phase of the interconnection process.

## OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

#### 10.0 Conclusion

The requested interconnection of the XXXXXX, GI #557, to IPCO's system was studied.

Interconnection requirements, detailed in Section 6.6, totaling \$660,000 are required to interconnect the project as an Energy Resource. If the project connects as a Network Resource, the cost to integrate the project is \$4,098,600 as listed in Section 7.4.

The results of this study work confirm that GI #557 can be interconnected to the existing IPCO transmission system. The results from the power flow analysis and short-circuit analysis confirm that the interconnection of the Project will have a negligible impact beyond the local 138 kV system.

Generator interconnection service (either as an Energy Resource or a Network Resource) does not in any way convey any right to deliver electricity to any specific customer or point of delivery.

## OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

#### APPENDIX A

## A-1.0 Method of Study

The System Impact Study plan inserts the Project up to the maximum requested injection into the selected Western Electric Coordinating Council (WECC) power flow case(s) and then, using PowerWorld Simulator analysis tool, the impacts of the new resource on the IPCO transmission system (lines, transformers, etc.) within the study area are analyzed. The WECC and IPCO reliability criteria and operating procedures were used to determine the acceptability of the configurations considered. For distribution feeder analysis, IPCO utilizes Advantica SynerGEE Software.

## A-2.0 Acceptability Criteria

The following acceptability criteria were used in the power flow analysis to determine under which system configuration modifications may be required:

The continuous rating of equipment is assumed to be the normal thermal rating of the equipment. This rating will be as determined by the manufacturer of the equipment or as determined by IPCO. Less than or equal to 100% of continuous rating is acceptable.

IPCO Voltage Operating Guidelines were used to determine voltage requirements on the system. These state, in part, that distribution voltages, under normal operating conditions, are to be maintained within plus or minus 5% (0.05 per unit) of nominal everywhere on the feeder. Therefore, voltages greater than or equal to 0.95 pu voltage and less than or equal to 1.05 pu voltage are acceptable.

Voltage flicker during starting or stopping the generator is limited to 5% as measured at the point of interconnection, per IPCO's T&D Advisory Information Manual.

IPCO's Reliability Criteria for System Planning was used to determine proper transmission system operation.

All customer generation must meet IEEE 519 and ANSI C84.1 Standards.

All other applicable national and IPCO standards and prudent utility practices were used to determine the acceptability of the configurations considered.

The stable operation of the system requires an adequate supply of Volt-Amperes reactive (VAr) to maintain a stable voltage profile under both steady-state and

## OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

dynamic system conditions. An inadequate supply of VArs will result in voltage decay or even collapse under the worst conditions.

Equipment/line/path ratings used will be those that are in use at the time of the study or that are represented by IPCO upgrade projects that are either currently under construction or whose budgets have been approved for construction soon. All other potential future ratings are outside the scope of this study. Future transmission changes may, however, affect current facility ratings used in the study.

## A-3.0 Electrical System Protection Guidance

IPCO requires electrical system protection per <u>Requirements for Generation Interconnections</u> found on the Idaho Power Web site,

http://www.idahopower.com/pdfs/BusinessToBusiness/facilityRequirements.pdf

## OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

## **Revision History**

	Date	Revision	Initials	Summary of Changes
06	/02/2020	0	GMT	Original
06	/04/2020	1	GMT	Incorporated received comments

## OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE