GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY STUDY REPORT

for integration of the proposed

100 MW XXXX XXXX PROJECT (GI PROJECT #682)

to the

IDAHO POWER COMPANY ELECTRICAL SYSTEM

in

MINIDOKA COUNTY, IDAHO

for

XXXX XXXX

Report v.1

January 13th, 2023

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction				
2.0	Summary				
3.0	Feasibility Cost Estimate				
4.0	Potential Contingent Facilities				
5.0	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e				
6.0	Energy Resource Interconnection Service (ERIS)				
	6.1	Description of Substation/Transmission Facilities	7		
	6.2	Description of Distribution Facilities	7		
	6.3	Short Circuit Study Results	7		
	6.4	Electric System Protection Results and Grounding Requirements	7		
	6.5	Energy Resource Interconnection Service Cost Estimate	7		
7.0					
	7.1	Description of Power Flow Cases	8		
	7.2	Network Resource Interconnection Service Transmission Upgrades	8		
	7.3	Network Resource Interconnection Service Cost Estimate	8		
8.0	Des	scription of Operating Requirements	8		
9.0					
API	PEND	IX A	10		
	A-1.	0 Method of Study	10		
	A-2.0 Acceptability Criteria				
	A-3.0 Grounding Guidance				
	A-4.0 Electrical System Protection Guidance				
	A-5.0 WECC Coordinated Off-Nominal Frequency Load Shedding and Restoration Requirements				
App	endix	В	11		
	B-1.	0 Energy Resource Interconnection Service Contingent Facilities	11		
	B-2.	0 Network Resource Interconnection Service Contingent Facilities	12		

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

	_
	3
OFFICIAL USE ONLY	
DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE	
This report contains Idaho Power Company Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (CEII	<i>(</i>)
Distribution of this report must be limited to parties that have entered into a non-disclosure	<i>).</i>
Distribution of this report must be limited to parties that have entered into a non-disclosure	7
agreement with Idaho Power Company and have a need to know.	

1.0 Introduction

XXXX XXXX has contracted with Idaho Power Company ("Transmission Provider") to perform a Generator Interconnection Feasibility Study for the integration of the proposed 100 MW XXXX XXXX Project (the Project). The Project location is located in the Idaho Power Company's (IPC's) eastern region in Minidoka County, Idaho. The Project latitude and longitude coordinates are approximately XXXX XXXX. The project is Generation Interconnect (GI) queue number 682 (GI #682). The Project is a photovoltaic (PV) plus battery energy storage project (BESS) and has chosen in the Feasibility Study to be studied for Energy Resource Interconnection Service (ERIS) and Network Resource Interconnection Service (NRIS). GI #682 is an increase in the generating capacity to GI #677, as such, all facilities necessary for GI #677 will also apply to GI #682 as contingent facilities.

The Project has applied to connect to the Idaho Power's transmission system for an injection of 100 MW at a single Point of Interconnection (POI) on the Midpoint - Kinport 345 kV transmission line (MPSN-KPRT 345 kV). The MPSN-KPRT 345 kV is currently operated at 345 kV, however; the line will be operated at 500 kV in the future to support the full buildout of the Gateway West Project. All costs reflected in this report are for facilities built to 500 kV specifications. There is currently not a specific date for when the line will begin 500 kV operation, but it is expected beyond 2030.

IPC projects queue GI #558, GI #573, GI #580, GI #623, GI#633, GI#641, GI#647, GI#648, GI#652, GI#655, GI#658, GI#661, GI#662, GI#670, GI#671, GI#672 and GI#677 are senior queued projects in the affected area of IPC's transmission system and their associated Network Upgrades and/or Interconnection Facilities may be contingent facilities. Should GI #682 elect to move forward into the System Impact Study phase the facilities that are contingent will be identified.

This report documents the basis for and the results of this Feasibility Study for the GI #682 Generation Interconnection Customer. The report describes the proposed Project, the determination of the Project interconnection requirements and estimated costs for integration of the Project to the Transmission Provider transmission system. This report satisfies the feasibility study requirements of the Idaho Power Tariff.

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

2.0 Summary

The Interconnection Feasibility Study was done and prepared in accordance with the Transmission Provider's Standard Generator Interconnection Procedures to provide a preliminary evaluation of the feasibility of the interconnection of the proposed generating Project to the Idaho Power system. As listed in the Interconnection Feasibility Study agreement, the Interconnection Feasibility Study report provides the following information:

- preliminary identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded because of the interconnection:
- preliminary identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations resulting from the interconnection; and
- preliminary description and non-binding estimated cost of facilities required to interconnect the Large Generating Facility to the IPC System and to address the identified short circuit and power flow issues.

All other proposed Generation projects prior to this Project in the Generator Interconnect queue were considered in this study. A current list of these projects can be found in the Generation Interconnection folder located on the Idaho Power Oasis web site at the link provided here: http://www.oatioasis.com/ipco/index.html.

An Interconnection System Impact Study (SIS) is required to determine if any additional Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades are required to mitigate thermal, voltage, transient stability, and/or reactive margin issues. Energy Resource Interconnection Service and/or Network Resource Interconnection Service in and of themselves do not convey transmission service. In addition to Network Upgrades identified in the Interconnection System Impact Study:

- For Energy Resource Interconnection Service, the Interconnection Customer's ability to inject its Large Generating Facility output beyond the Point of Interconnection will depend on the existing capacity of Transmission Provider's Transmission System at such time as a transmission service request is made that would accommodate such delivery. The provision of firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service or Network Integration Transmission Service may require the construction of additional Network Upgrades.
- For Network Resource Interconnection Service, additional studies to reduce or eliminate congestion may be required and these studies may identify the need for additional upgrades. To the extent Interconnection Customer enters into an arrangement for long term transmission service for deliveries from the Large Generating Facility outside Transmission Provider's Transmission System, such request may require additional studies and upgrades in order for Transmission Provider to grant such request.

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

3.0 Feasibility Cost Estimate

GI #682 is an increase in the generating capacity to GI #677, as such, all facilities necessary for GI #677 will also apply to GI #682 as contingent facilities listed in Appendix B. The cost in addition to the contingent facilities listed in Appendix B for GI #682 for "Energy Resource Interconnection Service" and "Network Resource Interconnection Service" is \$0. This does not include costs for any potential contingent facilities from senior queued generation interconnection projects with the exception of GI #677. A more detailed cost estimate will be provided during the System Impact Study.

These are cost estimates only and final charges to the customer will be based on the actual construction costs incurred. It should be noted that the preliminary cost estimates do not include the cost of the customer's owned equipment. The schedule for designing, procuring, and constructing facilities will be developed and optimized during the Facility Study should the generation interconnection customer choose to move to that study phase of the interconnection process.

4.0 Potential Contingent Facilities

IPC projects queue GI #558, GI #573, GI #580, GI #623, GI#633, GI#641, GI#647, GI#648, GI#652, GI#655, GI#658, GI#661, GI#662, GI#670, GI#671, GI#672 and GI#677 are senior queued projects in the affected area of IPC's transmission system. Idaho Power studied GI #682 with all Network Upgrades and Interconnection Facilities identified for senior queued projects modeled as in service (Potential Contingent Facilities). Changes to senior queued projects including in-service date and withdrawal from the queue, may trigger a restudy associated with GI #682. Should GI #682 elect to move forward into the System Impact Study phase the facilities that are contingent will be identified.

5.0 Description of Proposed Generating Project

Assumptions

The primary point of interconnection for GI #682 is MPSN-KPRT 345 kV. Assumed GI #682 Project's maximum generation is 100 MW to the POI and 300 MW when combined with GI #677. The Project's Commercial Operation Date (COD) XXXX XXXX.

6.0 Energy Resource Interconnection Service (ERIS)

Energy Resource Interconnection Service (ERIS) allows the Interconnection Customer to connect its Generating Facility to Transmission Provider's transmission system and to be eligible to deliver electric output using firm or non-firm transmission capacity on an as available basis.

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

The GI #682 Project has applied to connect to the Idaho Power transmission system for an injection of 100 MW with a new 345 kV interconnection at MPSN-KPRT 345 kV. Senior IPC generation queued projects in the area ahead of this Project and their associated transmission system upgrades were modeled in a preliminary power flow analysis to evaluate the feasibility of interconnecting GI #682.

6.1 Description of Substation/Transmission Facilities

GI #682 is contingent on all facilities associated with GI #677, listed in Appendix B.

6.2 Description of Distribution Facilities

No distribution facilities are directly impacted by this Project.

6.3 Short Circuit Study Results

Studies indicate that there is adequate load and short circuit interrupting capability on the Transmission Provider's existing 345 kV breakers after this Project is interconnected.

6.4 Electric System Protection Results and Grounding Requirements

The Transmission Provider's System Protection Department utilizes permissive and line differential protection schemes integrated with digital communication infrastructure. Digital communication infrastructure for the interconnection customer's 345 kV Interconnection Facilities will be the responsibility of said interconnection customer.

6.5 Energy Resource Interconnection Service Cost Estimate

GI #682 is contingent on all facilities associated with GI #677, listed in Appendix B.

7.0 Network Resource Interconnection Service (NRIS)

Network Resource Interconnection Service (NRIS) allows the Interconnection Customer to integrate its Generating Facility with the Transmission Provider's Transmission System in a manner comparable to that in which the Transmission Provider integrated its generating facilities to serve native load customers. The transmission system is studied under a variety of conditions to determine the transmission improvements/upgrades which are necessary.

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

7.1 Description of Power Flow Cases

For the Network Resource Interconnection Service study, two power flow cases were used to study the Transmission Provider's transmission system with westbound and eastbound transmission flows to determine the required Network Transmission Upgrades. The Boardman to Hemingway 500 kV project and associated upgrades were assumed to be in service for this study.

The WECC 2025 Heavy Summer base case was modified to represent a summer month with high west to east (eastbound) transfers across Midpoint West and Borah West.

For the second case, a WECC 2022 Light Winter base case was modified to represent a shoulder month condition with high east to west (westbound) transfers across Midpoint West and Borah West.

7.2 Network Resource Interconnection Service Transmission Upgrades

GI #682 is contingent on all facilities associated with GI #677, listed in Appendix B.

7.3 Network Resource Interconnection Service Cost Estimate

GI #682 is contingent on all facilities associated with GI #677, listed in Appendix B.

8.0 Description of Operating Requirements

GI #682 will be required to control voltage in accordance with a voltage schedule as provided by Idaho Power Grid Operations. GI #682 will be required to manage the real power output of their generation project at the POI. Also, it may be beneficial for XXXX XXXX , for their own modeling compliance requirements, to install additional PMU devices at their facilities to monitor the generation source(s).

It is the generation Project's responsibility to provide reactive power capability of the Project to have a power factor operating range of at least 0.95 leading (absorbing) to at least 0.95 lagging (supplying) at the high side of the Project main power transformer over the range of real power output (up to maximum output of the Project).

Identification of any additional equipment required at the plant to meet Idaho Power reactive power capability interconnection requirements will be provided in the System Impact Study if the generation interconnection customer chooses to move to the next study phase of the interconnection process.

The Project(s) is required to comply with the applicable Voltage and Current Distortion

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

Limits found in IEEE Standard 519-1992 *IEEE Recommended Practices and Requirements for Harmonic Control in Electrical Power Systems*.

Installation of phasor measurement unit devices at the POI and maintenance costs associated with communication circuits needed to stream PMU data will also be required to be provided to interconnect GI #682. The specific costs associated with the IPC requirements for interconnection customers with aggregate facilities larger than 20 MW to provide PMU data to IPC will be identified in the Facility Study should the generation interconnection customer choose to proceed to that phase of the interconnection process. Also, it may be beneficial for XXXX XXXX , for their own modeling compliance requirements, to install additional PMU devices at their facilities to monitor the generation's sources separately.

Additional operating requirements for this Project may be identified in the System Impact Study when it is performed.

9.0 Conclusion

GI #682 is an increase in the generating capacity to GI #677, as such, all facilities necessary for GI #677 will also apply to GI #682 as contingent facilities listed in Appendix B. The cost in addition to the contingent facilities listed in Appendix B for GI #682 for "Energy Resource Interconnection Service" and "Network Resource Interconnection Service" is \$0. This does not include costs for any potential contingent facilities from senior queued generation interconnection projects with the exception of GI #677. A System Impact Study is required to determine the specific Transmission Network Upgrades required to integrate the Project for Network Resource Interconnection Service and to evaluate the system impacts (thermal overload, voltage, transient stability, reactive margin). A more detailed cost estimate will be provided during the System Impact Study

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

APPENDIX A

A-1.0 Method of Study

The Feasibility Study plan inserts the Project up to the maximum requested injection into the selected Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) power flow case and then, using Power World Simulator or GE's Positive Sequence Load Flow (PSLF) analysis tool, examines the impacts of the new resource on Idaho Power's transmission system (lines, transformers, etc.) within the study area under various operating and outage scenarios. The WECC and Idaho Power reliability criteria and Idaho Power operating procedures were used to determine the acceptability of the configurations considered. The WECC case is a recent case modified to simulate stressed but reasonable pre-contingency energy transfers utilizing the IPC system. For distribution feeder analysis, Idaho Power utilizes DNV·GL's Synergi Electric software and EPRI's OpenDSS software.

A-2.0 Acceptability Criteria

The following acceptability criteria were used in the power flow analysis to determine under which system configuration modifications may be required:

The continuous rating of equipment is assumed to be the normal thermal rating of the equipment. This rating will be as determined by the manufacturer of the equipment or as determined by Idaho Power. Less than or equal to 100% of continuous rating is acceptable.

Idaho Power's Voltage Operating Guidelines were used to determine voltage requirements on the system. These state, in part, that distribution voltages under normal operating conditions are to be maintained within plus or minus 5% (0.05 per unit) of nominal everywhere on the feeder. Therefore, voltages greater than or equal to 0.95 pu voltage and less than or equal to 1.05 pu voltage are acceptable.

Voltage flicker during starting or stopping the generator is limited to 5% as measured at the point of interconnection, per Idaho Power's T&D Advisory Information Manual.

Idaho Power's Reliability Criteria for System Planning was used to determine proper transmission system operation.

All customer generation must meet IEEE 519 and ANSI C84.1 Standards.

All other applicable national and Idaho Power standards and prudent utility practices were used to determine the acceptability of the configurations considered.

The stable operation of the system requires an adequate supply of volt-amperes reactive (VAr or VArs) to maintain a stable voltage profile under both steady-state and dynamic system conditions. An inadequate supply of VArs will result in voltage decay or even collapse under the worst conditions.

Equipment/line/path ratings used will be those that are in use at the time of the study or that

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

are represented by IPC upgrade projects that are either currently under construction or whose budgets have been approved for construction in the near future. All other potential future ratings are outside the scope of this study. Future transmission changes may, however, affect current facility ratings used in the study.

A-3.0 Grounding Guidance

IPC requires interconnected transformers on the distribution system to limit their ground fault current to 20 amps at the Point of Interconnection.

A-4.0 Electrical System Protection Guidance

IPC requires electrical system protection per <u>Facility Connection Requirements</u> found on the Idaho Power Web site.

https://docs.idahopower.com/pdfs/BusinessToBusiness/FacConnReq.pdf

A-5.0 WECC Coordinated Off-Nominal Frequency Load Shedding and Restoration Requirements

IPC requires frequency operational limits to adhere to WECC Under-frequency and Over-frequency Limits per the WECC Coordinated Off-Nominal Frequency Load Shedding and Restoration Requirements available upon request.

Appendix B

B-1.0 Energy Resource Interconnection Service Contingent Facilities

GI #682 is contingent on the following facilities identified for GI #677:

- New 3 terminal breaker and a half 345 kV substation at the POI with a generation interconnection and protection package with associated switches, protective relays, 3phase potential transformers (PTs) and 3-phase current transformers (CTs), SCADA and remote connectivity
- Redistribute the 60% series compensation on the XXXX line. The total line compensation remains 60% but must be reposition based on the POI.

Item of Work	Estimate
New breaker and a half 345 kV substation at POI	\$10,171,460
Redistribute series compensation	\$5,650,000
Unloaded Costs	\$15,821,460
Contingency 30% (1)	\$4,746,438
Overheads (2)	\$1,696,852
Total Conceptual-level Cost Estimate in 2022 dollars (3)	\$22,264,750

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

Table 1. Estimated GI #682 Energy Resource Interconnection Service Costs

- (1) Contingency is added to cover the unforeseen costs in the estimate. These costs can include unidentified design components, material cost increases, labor estimate shortfalls, etc.
- (2) Overhead costs cover the indirect costs associated with the Project.
- (3) This cost estimate includes direct equipment, material, labor, and overheads as shown.
 - Note that these estimates do not include the cost of the customer's equipment/facilities or required communication circuits for SCADA, PMU, and metering.
 - Note that the overhead rates are subject to change during the year.
 - Note that these costs assume the use of in-house resources.
 - These are estimated costs only and final charges to the customer will be based on the actual construction costs incurred.

These are non-binding conceptual level cost estimates that will be further refined upon the request and completion of the Facility Study.

B-2.0 Network Resource Interconnection Service Contingent Facilities

GI #682 is contingent on the following facilities identified for GI #677:

- New 3 terminal breaker and a half 345 kV substation at the POI with a generation interconnection and protection package with associated switches, protective relays, 3phase potential transformers (PTs) and 3-phase current transformers (CTs), SCADA and remote connectivity
- Rebuild 1 mile of the XXXX transmission line from XXXX to XXXX
- Redistribute the 60% series compensation on XXXX line. The total line compensation remains 60% but must be reposition based on the POI.

Item of Work	Estimate
New breaker and a half 345 kV substation at POI	\$10,171,460
Redistribute series compensation	\$5,650,000
Rebuild 1 mile XXXX line	\$3,250,000
Unloaded costs	\$19,071,460
Contingency 30% (1)	\$5,721,438
Total contingency costs	\$24,792,898
Overheads (2)	\$2,045,414
Total Conceptual-level Cost Estimate in 2022 dollars (3)	\$26,838,312

Table 2. Estimated GI #682 Network Resource Interconnection Service Costs

- (1) Contingency is added to cover the unforeseen costs in the estimate. These costs can include unidentified design components, material cost increases, labor estimate shortfalls, etc.
- (2) Overhead costs cover the indirect costs associated with the Project.
- (3) This cost estimate includes direct equipment, material, labor, and overheads as shown.
 - Note that these estimates do not include the cost of the customer's equipment/facilities or required communication circuits for SCADA, PMU, and metering.

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE

- Note that the overhead rates are subject to change during the year.
- Note that these costs assume the use of in-house resources.
- These are estimated costs only and final charges to the customer will be based on the actual construction costs incurred.
- These are non-binding conceptual level cost estimates that will be further refined upon the request and completion of the Facility Study.

Revision History

Date	Revision	Initials	Summary of Changes
01/13/2023	0	PTP	Initial Report

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DO NOT DUPLICATE, DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH OR SHARE